cambridge.org/psm

Invited Review

Cite this article: Blendermann M, Ebalu TI, Obisie-Orlu IC, Fried EI, Hallion LS (2023). A narrative systematic review of changes in mental health symptoms from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychological Medicine* 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291723002295

Received: 19 January 2023 Revised: 12 July 2023 Accepted: 19 July 2023

Keywords:

COVID-19 pandemic; psychopathology; systematic review; anxiety; depression

Corresponding author: Lauren S Hallion; Email: hallion@pitt.edu

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



A narrative systematic review of changes in mental health symptoms from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic

Mary Blendermann¹, Tracie I Ebalu¹, Immanuela C Obisie-Orlu¹, Eiko I Fried² o and Lauren S Hallion¹ o

¹Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA and ²Department of Psychology, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic raised concerns regarding population-wide impacts on mental health. Existing work on the psychological impacts of disaster has identified the potential for multiple response trajectories, with resilience as likely as the development of chronic psychopathology. Early reviews of mental health during the pandemic suggested elevated prevalence rates of multiple forms of psychopathology, but were limited by largely crosssectional approaches. We conducted a systematic review of studies that prospectively assessed pre- to peri-pandemic changes in symptoms of psychopathology to investigate potential mental health changes associated with the onset of the pandemic (PROSPERO #CRD42021255042). A total of 97 studies were included, covering symptom clusters including obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), fear, anxiety, depression, and general distress. Changes in psychopathology symptoms varied by symptom dimension and sample characteristics. OCD, anxiety, depression, and general distress symptoms tended to increase from pre- to peri-pandemic. An increase in fear was limited to medically vulnerable participants, and findings for PTSD were mixed. Pre-existing mental health diagnoses unexpectedly were not associated with symptom exacerbation, except in the case of OCD. Young people generally showed the most marked symptom increases, although this pattern was reversed in some samples. Women in middle adulthood in particular demonstrated a considerable increase in anxiety and depression. We conclude that mental health responding during the pandemic varied as a function of both symptom cluster and sample characteristics. Variability in responding should therefore be a key consideration guiding future research and intervention.

As the financial, occupational, and social impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic became apparent, voices across clinical psychological science and medicine raised concerns regarding potential consequences for population-wide mental health (Fiorillo & Gorwood, 2020; Gruber et al., 2021; Pfefferbaum & North, 2020). Some early predictions anticipated a broad decline in mental health, including development of psychopathology in previously healthy individuals and exacerbated symptoms in clinical populations (Fiorillo & Gorwood, 2020; Gruber et al., 2021). Stress is a well-established risk factor for the development and worsening of psychopathology (Liu & Miller, 2014; McLaughlin, 2020), particularly internalizing symptoms such as anxiety and depression (Faravelli et al., 2012; Kendler & Gardner, 2016), and these predictions largely followed from conceptualizations of the COVID-19 pandemic as both an acute and chronic stressor (Bridgland et al., 2021; Waugh, Leslie-Miller, & Cole, 2022).

Other perspectives argued that mental health impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic would be more nuanced than population-level decline (Koushik, 2020; Mancini, 2020). For example, decreased work and social obligations might provide temporary relief from anxiety symptoms, while mandated social isolation might exacerbate depression (Koushik, 2020). An increased sense of togetherness, previously observed in communities experiencing mass trauma, could even benefit mental health (Lau et al., 2008; Mancini, 2020). Inter-individual differences may also play a role, with vulnerable populations shouldering the burden of worsening symptoms (Mancini, 2020). Such predictions are in line with prospective studies of the psychological impacts of disaster, with only a minority of participants (typically 30% or less) developing severe, chronic psychopathology (Bonanno, Brewin, Kaniasty, & Greca, 2010).

Early systematic reviews of general population mental health during the first few months of the COVID-19 pandemic reported startling rates of clinically significant anxiety (ranging from 26% to 38%), depression (26–34%), and psychological distress (30–38%; Deng et al. 2021; Krishnamoorthy, Nagarajan, Saya, & Menon, 2020; Luo, Guo, Yu, Jiang, & Wang, 2020; Necho, Tsehay, Birkie, Biset, & Tadesse, 2021; Salari et al. 2020). However, the studies covered by these reviews are largely epidemiological and cross-sectional, often with single item or



unvalidated assessments. The absence of pre-pandemic timepoints in particular limits inferences about change over time that is potentially attributable to the pandemic. To our knowledge, only one meta-analysis has synthesized studies with a prepandemic timepoint (Robinson, Sutin, Daly, & Jones, 2022). Across 65 longitudinal cohort studies, there was a small overall increase in symptoms of psychopathology, with the largest symptom increases observed in studies that sampled participants early in the pandemic (March–April 2020). Although this metaanalysis focused on longitudinal cohort studies, samples were largely European and North American, and did not include symptom clusters beyond the broad categories of anxiety, depression, and psychological distress.

Important questions also remain regarding variability in trajectories across symptom types and populations. For example, OCD symptoms related to contamination and health behaviors (e.g. handwashing, disinfecting surfaces) may have been impacted differently than other kinds of internalizing symptoms (Guzick et al., 2021). PTSD symptoms might emerge in populations such as healthcare workers (Bridgland et al., 2021). Finally, theoretical and empirical frameworks distinguish between acute autonomic reactions to imminent threat (fear) v. prolonged apprehension involving chronic or distal threat (anxiety; Kotov et al., 2017; Öhman, 2008). Whereas fear might be expected to show a sharp increase relative to pre-pandemic followed by a steady decline, the evolving and prolonged nature of the pandemic suggests a potentially different course for anxiety. The present systematic review gives in-depth consideration to these and other internalizing-related symptom clusters. We adopt a global, lifespan, and transdiagnostic perspective, with findings reported separately for unselected samples, samples with diagnosed psychopathology, and selected samples such as patients with preexisting medical conditions or other special characteristics (e.g. veterans).

Method

Search strategy

A systematic review was conducted in accordance with PRISMA guidelines (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009) and preregistered on PROSPERO (#CRD42021255042). We searched PubMed and PsycINFO for studies that assessed mental health symptoms both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Keywords included (COVID-19 OR coronavirus OR COVID OR pandemic OR SARS-CoV-2 OR social distancing OR quarantine OR lockdown) AND (anxi* OR obsess* OR trauma* OR fear OR panic OR agoraphobi* OR social anxiety OR separation anxiety OR acute stress* OR depress*). A database search was conducted from 29 January 2020 (the day before the World Health Organization designated the COVID-19 pandemic a Public Health Emergency of International Concern) to 24 June 2021. Complete search strategies for each database and deviations from the preregistration can be found in online Supplementary Materials.

Study selection

Titles and abstracts were screened according to eligibility criteria (outlined below). Full-text screening was conducted by four authors (M. B., T. I. E., I. C. O., and L. S. H.). Where eligibility was uncertain, a consensus decision was made following discussion. Study selection process and reasons for exclusion are described in Fig. 1.

Inclusion criteria. Studies were included if they met all of the following criteria: (a) published or in press on or after 29 January 2020; (b) published in English; (c) participants and/or studies based in a country or region with confirmed COVID-19 cases, lockdown measures, and/or quarantine; and (d) assessed symptoms of mental health both prior to (no later than 29 January 2020) and during the COVID-19 pandemic, either in the same sample at both timepoints or in two nationally or regionally representative samples.

Exclusion criteria. Studies were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: (a) cross-sectional design, or repeated measures in different, non-representative samples; (b) administered mental health treatment between the pre-pandemic and peri-pandemic assessments (healthy and no-treatment control groups remained eligible); (c) did not present original empirical work (e.g. commentaries, reviews).

Methodological quality. Included studies were assessed for methodological quality by the first author across four domains: sample size, sampling methodology, response rate, and measurement (see online Supplementary Materials).

Results

The search terms yielded 981 results from PsycINFO and 8666 results from PubMed, for a total of 9647 potentially eligible items. Following the removal of 672 duplicate items, 8646 items were excluded during title and abstract screening, and 232 items were excluded during full-text screening, yielding 97 studies which were included in the final review (see Figs 2–3 and Table 1 for included studies). Symptom changes described below were statistically significant unless otherwise specified.

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (k = 11)

Three of four studies in unselected (including undergraduate student) samples reported increases in overall OCD symptoms, particularly washing and contamination symptoms (Cox & Olatunji, 2021; Jelinek, Göritz, Miegel, Moritz, & Kriston, 2021; Knowles & Olatunji, 2021). The fourth, a longitudinal cohort study of 2117 Brazilian university employees, found no change in the prevalence of OCD diagnoses from pre- to peri-pandemic (Brunoni et al., 2021). Of five studies including participants with pre-existing OCD diagnoses (N = 60 to N = 270), four found that OCD severity increased. In one study of an outpatient clinic sample, pre-existing contamination symptoms predicted increases in overall OCD severity (Davide et al., 2020). A study of children and adolescents with OCD treated at a university psychiatry department only found changes in washing and contamination symptoms (Tanir et al., 2020). In a Spanish sample of 127 clinic outpatients with OCD, \sim 31% showed at least a moderate increase in severity (over 25%), with the remaining changes ranging from a small increase to a small decrease (Alonso et al., 2021). Similarly, about half of a sample of 84 Indian adults with OCD showed no change, while the other half mostly showed increases in severity of less than 5% (Chakraborty & Karmakar, 2020). In samples with psychiatric diagnoses other than OCD, a study of 80 children and adolescents with various neurologic and psychiatric disorders found an increase in OCD symptoms (Conti et al., 2020), while a study of 35 Catalán adults with autism found no changes (Lugo-Marín et al., 2021).

Across samples, OCD symptoms tended to increase, with few exceptions. Calculable effect sizes (k = 4) were small to moderate (median Cohen's d = 0.59, range = 0.11–0.79). Consistent with

Psychological Medicine



predictions, symptom increase was more pronounced for washing-checking domains and in diagnosed samples.

Post-traumatic stress disorder (k = 5)

Findings for PTSD were inconclusive, driven in part by the small total number of studies. The largest study available, in a nationally representative panel survey of 3078 American veterans, did not find significant changes in PTSD probable diagnosis prevalence (Hill et al., 2021). In a sample of 473 Canadian adults, no changes in PTSD symptoms were identified (Minhas et al., 2021). An increase in PTSD symptoms was observed in 80 Italian children and adolescents with a pre-existing neuro-developmental disorder (Conti et al., 2020), as well as in 85 German adults with and without psychiatric disorders (Seitz, Bertsch, & Herpertz, 2021). By contrast, there was a decrease in PTSD severity among 76 older adults with a pre-existing PTSD diagnosis compared to trauma-exposed controls in the USA (Rutherford et al., 2021).

*Fear (*k = 10)

Six studies assessed fear/autonomic anxiety in unselected samples, with variable results. A study of 217 Indian undergraduates found a small increase in fear (Saraswathi et al., 2020), as did two smaller studies [99 adult women in Poland (Ilgen, Kurt, Aydin, Bilen,

Figure 1. PRISMA diagram showing study selection process.

& Kula, 2021) and 68 Italian undergraduates (Bussone, Pesca, Tambelli, & Carola, 2020)]. By contrast, a study of 2364 Chinese undergraduates found a slight decrease in fear (Yang, Ji, et al., 2021), as did a study of 66 Brazilian pharmacy students (Campos, Campos, Bueno, & Martins, 2021). The remaining study, in a crowdsourced sample of 146 American adults, found no change (Haliwa, Wilson, Lee, & Shook, 2021).

Both studies including samples with pre-existing psychiatric diagnoses found no change in fear [275 American adults with autism spectrum disorder drawn from an existing research registry (Adams, Zheng, Taylor, & Bishop, 2021); 1181 adults with internalizing disorders drawn from a prospective longitudinal study in the Netherlands (Pan et al., 2021)]. The latter study did report a small increase in fear among 336 healthy control participants (recruited through primary care settings; Pan et al., 2021). Two studies of selected samples showed stronger effects, including a clinically significant increase in fear in 595 Turkish cancer patients (Yildirim, Poyraz, & Erdur, 2021), and an increase in the proportion of 63 pregnant participants meeting the threshold for moderate or severe fear (Ayaz et al., 2020).

Taken together, findings suggest that medically selected samples experienced a clinically significant increase in fear, but this pattern did not apply to unselected samples or those with preexisting psychiatric vulnerabilities, who tended to experience at most small increases. Although several studies reporting null



Figure 2. Distribution of studies in each symptom cluster reporting either an increase, decrease, or no change in symptoms. Colors reflect the reported statistical significance of each symptom change.

results had small sample sizes, a few larger studies also showed no change in fear severity, suggesting that null results are unlikely to be due to low statistical power.

Anxiety (k = 47)

Of 21 studies prospectively examining anxiety in unselected samples, 11 found an increase in anxiety and eight found no change, while two studies reported a decrease. One of the largest studies, a randomly sampled cohort study of 113 928 German adults ages 20-74, reported an increase in anxiety for participants under 60 years of age only, with women ages 20-39 showing the largest increase (Peters, Rospleszcz, Greiser, Dallavalle, & Berger, 2020). In a prospective cohort study of 1237 French adults (Ramiz et al., 2021), the proportion of participants with 'possible anxiety' (GAD-7 score >4) increased over time, with the most marked increases occurring for women ages 23-49 and ages 70 or above. A study comparing two randomly sampled, nationally representative samples of Czech adults (Winkler et al., 2021) similarly found that the prevalence of anxiety disorders increased from 8% in November 2017 to 13% in May 2020. Younger adults, women, those who struggled to retain employment, and those without a high school diploma had the highest absolute rates of anxiety disorder during the second wave of the pandemic.

Well-powered studies that did not find changes in anxiety include a representative sample of 1041 Irish adults (Hyland et al., 2021) and a nationally representative study of 944 604 American adults compared to a 2019 propensity-matched sample (Jacobs & Burch, 2021). However, interpretability of these large studies may be offset by other methodological constraints (use of a cut-off score and single-item assessment, respectively).

The only two studies reporting a decrease in anxiety were a study of 2364 Chinese undergraduates (assessed October 2019, February 2020, and May 2020; Yang, Ji., et al., 2021) and 2117 Brazilian adults (May–July 2020 compared to 2008–2010, with no change compared to 2016–2018; Brunoni et al., 2021).

Five studies examined anxiety in psychiatric samples. One study (Pan et al., 2021) found an increase in worry across 1181 adults with psychiatric illness and 336 healthy controls from three longitudinal cohort studies in the Netherlands. Another longitudinal cohort study found an increase in 147 healthy controls, but *not* 345 adults with bipolar disorder (Yocum, Zhai, McInnis, & Han, 2021). Two smaller studies also found an increase in anxiety; in 76 Chinese participants receiving methadone maintenance treatment for substance use disorder (Liu et al., 2021); and in 46 American older adults with PTSD, but not 30 trauma-exposed controls (Rutherford et al., 2021). A third study found no change in a sample of 35 Catalán adults with autism (Lugo-Marín et al., 2021).



Figure 3. Spread of effect sizes for studies where Cohen's *d* was reported or could be calculated (*k* = 55). Effect sizes <0 indicate symptom decrease; effect sizes >0 indicate symptom increase. See Supplementary Table S4 for studies corresponding to point labels.

Seven studies prospectively assessed changes in anxiety in children and adolescents with remarkably consistent findings; all but one found at least a small increase in anxiety coinciding with the onset of the pandemic (Breaux et al., 2021; Conti et al., 2020; Magson et al., 2021; Rogers, Ha, & Ockey, 2021). Studies reporting an increase in anxiety include a sample of 775 children and adolescents assessed via an experience-sampling application in Australia (Arjmand, Seabrook, Bakker, & Rickard, 2021); a representative sample of 844 Dutch children and adolescents (compared to a representative sample from 2018; Luijten et al., 2021); and 136 Canadian children and adolescents (De France, Hancock, Stack, Serbin, & Hollenstein, 2021), who demonstrated a marginal increase in anxiety that was driven by an increase in girls only.

Ten studies prospectively assessed changes in anxiety in older adults and other medically vulnerable samples. Relatively larger studies tended to find an increase in anxiety, including a multinational sample of 435 adults with systemic sclerosis recruited during medical visits (Thombs et al., 2020); 538 Chinese older adults with two or more chronic health conditions (Wong et al., 2020); 721 Chilean older adults from a random community sample (Herrera et al., 2021); and 133 American adults with HIV (but not 54 healthy controls; Cooley, Nelson, Doyle, Rosenow, & Ances, 2021). Null findings were observed in a prospective observational study of 1051 patients with remitted breast cancer (Mink van der Molen et al., 2021); 450 Australian adults with type 2 diabetes (Sacre et al., 2021); and 411 Chinese older adults (Siew, Mahendran, & Yu, 2021).

Studies of other selected samples also tended to find increases in anxiety. A longitudinal cohort study of 2288 American sexual and gender minority (SGM) adults found an increase in anxiety severity during the early stages of the pandemic (Flentje et al., 2020). However, this increase was driven by participants who were relatively lower in anxiety, with those who screened positive for GAD prior to the pandemic showing no change. A study of 1028 recent mothers in a hospital-based birth cohort in Brazil found a twofold increase in GAD prevalence from 2019 (during pregnancy) to 2020 (Loret de Mola et al., 2021). A nationally representative study of 3078 predominantly male American veterans found an increase in the prevalence of positive GAD screenings from 7% to 9%, driven by a marked increase in anxiety severity among middle-aged veterans (Hill et al., 2021).

Collectively, most studies found an increase in anxiety associated with the onset of the pandemic, although calculable effect sizes (k = 14) tended to be small (median Cohen's d = 0.16, range = -0.35 to 0.54). Findings were especially pronounced and consistent in child and adolescent samples and in medically vulnerable participants. Psychiatric samples also showed some vulnerability to an increase in anxiety, but this vulnerability was not more pronounced than that observed in unselected samples.

Depression (k = 74)

Twenty-seven studies prospectively assessed changes in incidence or severity of depression in unselected samples. Only two studies found a decrease in severity, one in 1020 Irish adults (Hyland et al., 2021) and one in 2364 Chinese undergraduate students (Yang, Ji, et al., 2021). The remaining studies found either an increase (k = 17) or no change (k = 8) in severity. In the largest study (N = 113 928 German adults; Peters et al., 2020), incidence of moderate-to-severe depression symptoms increased from 6.4% 1–5 years before the pandemic to 8.8% in May 2020. Incidence of

Table 1. Summary of included studies, sample characteristics, and symptom change results

| Author (year) | Country | T1 data collection started | Months elapsed T1–T2 | Response rate (%) | T1 <i>N</i> | T2 <i>N</i> | Sample age group | Age (M) | Sample characteristics | Symptom measure | Statistical approach | Symptom change direction | p value |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Obsessive-compulsive di | sorder | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unselected and undergra | aduate sample | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brunoni et al. (2021) | Brazil | 2008 | 14 | 51.7 | 2117 | - | Adults | 62.32 | - | CIS-R | Cochran's Q test for paired data | Decrease | ns |
| Cox and Olatunji (2021) | USA | 2016 | 39 | 29 | 369 | 369 | Adults | 46.98 | - | OCI-R | Paired t test | Increase | 0.04 |
| Jelinek et al. (2021) | Germany | 3/30/2014 | 71 | 90.5 | 538 | 1207 | Adults | 55.83 | - | OCI-R | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.001 |
| Knowles and Olatunji (2021) | USA | 01/2020 | 1 | - | 108 | 108 | Adults | 19.62 | Undergraduate students | Padua Inventory Contamination Subscale, OCI-R | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Psychiatric samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alonso et al. (2021) | Spain | 12/14/2019 | 1 | - | 127 | 127 | Adults | 42 | OCD | YBOCS | <i>t</i> -test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Chakraborty and Karmakar (2020) | India | - | - | - | 84 | 84 | Adults | - | OCD | YBOCS | Percentage score change | - | - |
| Davide et al. (2020) | Italy | 01/2020 | 1.5 | - | 30 | 30 | Adults | 43.17 | OCD | YBOCS | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Khosravani, Aardema, Samimi Ardestani, and Sharifi Bastan (2021) | Iran | - | - | 35.3 | 270 | 270 | Adults | 36 | OCD | YBOCS | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Lugo-Marín et al. (2021) | Spain (Catalonia) | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | Adults | 32.8 | Autism spectrum disorder | SCL-90-R | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Decrease | - |
| Child and adolescent ps | ychiatric samp | oles | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conti et al. (2020) | Italy | - | 7 | - | 80 | 80 | Children and adolescents | - | Neurological and psychiatric disorders | CBCL 6-18 | Paired t test | Increase | <0.05 |
| Tanir et al. (2020) | Turkey | 09/2019 | 1 | 67.8 | 61 | 61 | Children and adolescents | 13.62 | OCD | CY-BOCS | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Post-traumatic stress dis | order | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conti et al. (2020) | Italy | - | 7 | - | 80 | 80 | Children and adolescents | - | Neurological and psychiatric disorders | CBCL 6-18 | Paired t test | Increase | <0.1 |
| Hill et al. (2021) | USA | | 7 | 75.6 | 4069 | 3078 | Older adults | 63.2 | Veterans | PCL-5 | McNemar's test | - | 0.14 |
| Minhas et al. (2021) | Canada | - | - | 73 | 473 | 473 | Adults | 23.8 | - | PCL-5 | Linear mixed- effects models | - | 0.66 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Rutherford et al. (2021) | USA | - | 1 | - | 30 | 30 | Older adults | 67.4 | Trauma exposed comparison group | PCL-5 | Generalized linear mixed models | - | 0.738 |
|---|--------------------|------------|----|------|------|------|--------------|-------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Rutherford et al. (2021) | USA | - | 1 | - | 46 | 46 | Older adults | 62.5 | PTSD | PCL-5 | Generalized linear mixed models | Decrease | 0.0008 |
| Seitz et al. (2021) | Germany | 09/2018 | 5 | 60.7 | 22 | 22 | Adults | 31.3 | Healthy volunteers | PCL-5 | Paired t test | Increase | - |
| Seitz et al. (2021) | Germany | 09/2018 | 5 | 60.7 | 63 | 63 | Adults | 31.3 | MDD, PTSD, or somatic symptom disorder | PCL-5 | Paired t test | Increase | - |
| Fear | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unselected and under | graduate samp | les | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campos et al. (2021) | | 08/2019 | 6 | 22.4 | 294 | 66 | Adults | 21.7 | Pharmacy students | DASS-21 Anxiety subscale | Prevalence rates | Decrease | - |
| Bussone et al. (2020) | USA | - | 6 | - | 68 | 68 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | SCL-90-R Anxiety subscale | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | 0.03 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 36 | 146 | 146 | Adults | 43.75 | MTurk sample (2 of 3) | DASS-21 Anxiety subscale | Paired t test | - | 0.23 |
| Ilgen et al. (2021) | Poland | - | - | - | 99 | 99 | Adults | 35 | - | BAI | Paired t test | Increase | <0.01 |
| Pan et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 2006 | 39 | 58 | 336 | 336 | Adults | 57.7 | Healthy controls | BAI | Mixed-effects models | Increase | 0.032 |
| Saraswathi et al. (2020) | India | 12/2019 | 6 | 90.8 | 217 | 217 | Adults | 20 | Undergraduate students | DASS-21 Anxiety subscale | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Yang, Ji, et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 4 | - | 2364 | 2364 | Adults | 20.4 | Undergraduate students | DASS-21 Anxiety subscale | Paired t test | Decrease | <0.001 |
| Psychiatric samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adams et al. (2021) | USA | 3/11/2020 | 2 | 87.3 | 275 | 275 | Adults | 26.45 | Autism spectrum disorder | DASS-21 Anxiety subscale | Repeated- measures ANCOVA | - | ns |
| Pan et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 2006 | 39 | 58 | 1181 | 1181 | Adults | 56 | Depression, anxiety, or OCD | BAI | Mixed-effects models | - | range, 0.062–0.44 |
| Other selected sample | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ayaz et al. (2020) | Turkey | - | - | - | 63 | 63 | Adults | 30.35 | Pregnant women | BAI | - | Increase | 0.004 |
| Yildirim et al. (2021) | Turkey | 02/03/2020 | 1 | 93.4 | 595 | 595 | Adults | 50.48 | Breast, ovarian, colorectal, or gastric cancer patients | BAI | - | Increase | - |
| Anxiety | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unselected and under | graduate samp | les | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Algattas, Roy, Agarwal, and Maroon (2021) | USA | 1/1/2020 | 1 | 89.5 | 19 | 17 | Adults | - | Neurosurgery residents | PSS | Paired t test | Decrease | 0.515 |

7

| Author (year) | Country | T1 data collection started | Months elapsed T1–T2 | Response rate (%) | T1 <i>N</i> | T2 <i>N</i> | Sample age group | Age (M) | Sample characteristics | Symptom measure | Statistical approach | Symptom change direction | p value |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| Baiano, Zappullo, Group, and Conson (2020) | Italy | 11/4/2019 | 2 | 80.6 | 25 | 25 | Adults | 23.84 | - | PSWQ | Two-tailed Mann–Whitney <i>U</i> test | - | >0.05 |
| Brunoni et al. (2021) | Brazil | 2008 | 14 | 51.7 | 2117 | - | Adults | 62.32 | - | CIS-R | Cochran's Q test for paired data | Decrease | <0.001 |
| Campos et al. (2021) | Brazil | 08/2019 | 6 | 22.4 | 294 | 66 | Adults | 21.7 | Pharmacy students | DASS-21 Stress subscale | Prevalence rates | - | - |
| Cooley et al. (2021) | USA | - | - | - | 54 | 54 | Adults | 48.5 | - | HADS Anxiety subscale | Mean difference | Increase | - |
| Daly and Robinson (2021) | USA | 2019 | 3 | - | 30 915 | 6813 | Adults | - | - | GAD-2 | Logistic regression | - | <0.001 |
| Elmer, Mepham, and Stadtfeld (2020) | Switzerland | 2018 | 7 | - | 212 | 212 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | GAD-7 | Paired t test | Increase | 0.014 |
| Evans, Alkan, Bhangoo, Tenenbaum, and Ng-Knight (2021) | UK | 10/2019 | 5 | 84.1 | 251 | 251 | Adults | 19.76 | Undergraduate students | HADS | Repeated- measures ANOVA | - | 0.782 |
| Feinberg et al. (2021) | USA | 2017 | 3 | 53.6 | 206 | 206 | Adults | - | Parents | PSWQ | Hierarchical linear modeling | Increase | 0.044 |
| Fruehwirth et al. (2021) | USA | 1/2020 | 4 | 42 | 419 | 419 | Adults | 18.9 | Undergraduate students | GAD-7 | Prevalence rates | - | <0.05 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 35 | 142 | 142 | Adults | 40.46 | MTurk sample (3 of 3) | PSS | Paired t test | - | 0.91 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 35 | 142 | 142 | Adults | 40.46 | MTurk sample (3 of 3) | GAD-7 | Paired t test | - | 0.62 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 58 | 300 | 300 | Adults | 41.38 | MTurk sample (1 of 3) | PSS | Paired t test | - | 0.06 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 36 | 146 | 146 | Adults | 43.75 | MTurk sample (2 of 3) | DASS-21 Stress subscale | Paired t test | - | 0.17 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 58 | 300 | 300 | Adults | 41.38 | MTurk sample (1 of 3) | GAD-7 | Paired t test | - | 0.001 |
| Hyland et al. (2021) | Ireland | 02/2019 | 13 | - | 1020 | 1041 | Adults | 44.04 | - | GAD-7 | Structural equation modeling | - | 0.208 |
| Jacobs and Burch (2021) | USA | 2019 | 4 | - | 944 604 | 944 604 | Adults | 51.14 | - | Custom item | No statistical comparison | - | - |
| Lau, Shariff, and Meehan (2021) | England | - | 3 | - | 104 | 104 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | GAD-7 | Paired t test | Increase | ns |
| Lee, Cadigan, and Rhew (2020) | USA | 01/2020 | 3 | 95 | 564 | 564 | Adults | 25.1 | - | PHQ-4 | Count ratios | Decrease | 0.386 |

Mary Blendermann *et al.*

| | Minhas et al. (2021) | Canada | - | - | 73 | 473 | 473 | Adults | 23.8 | - | GAD-7 | Linear mixed- effects models | Increase | <0.001 |
|---|---|----------------------|---------|-----|------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------|-----------------------|
| | Novotný et al. (2020) | Czech Republic | - | - | 39.2 | 715 | 715 | Adults | 46.12 | - | PSS | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Increase | <0.001 |
| | Pan et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 2006 | 39 | 58 | 336 | 336 | Adults | 57.7 | Healthy controls | PSWQ | Mixed-effects models | Increase | <0.0001 |
| | Peters et al. (2020) | Germany | - | 1 | 55.5 | 113 928 | 113 928 | Adults | 50 | - | GAD-7 | - | Increase | - |
| | Ramiz et al. (2021) | France | 2014 | 3.5 | 12.8 | 1237 | 1237 | Adults | 62 | - | GAD-7 | - | Increase | - |
| | Saraswathi et al. (2020) | India | 12/2019 | 6 | 90.8 | 217 | 217 | Adults | 20 | Undergraduate students | DASS-21 Stress subscale | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Increase | <0.001 |
| | Winkler et al. (2021) | Czech Republic | 2017 | 30 | - | 3306 | 3021 | Adults | 47.87 | - | MINI | - | - | - |
| | Yang, Ji, et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 4 | - | 2364 | 2364 | Adults | 20.4 | Undergraduate students | DASS-21 Stress subscale | Paired t test | Decrease | <0.001 |
| | Yocum et al. (2021) | USA | - | 12 | 62 | 147 | 147 | Adults | 49 | - | GAD-7 | Generalized estimating equations | Decrease | <0.0001 |
| | Zhang, Zaman, Silenzio, Kautz, and Hoque (2020) | | 01/2020 | - | 100 | 49 | 49 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | GAD-7 | - | - | - |
| | Zhao et al. (2020) | China | 2017 | 27 | - | 4036 | 1501 | Adults | - | - | GAD-2 | - | Increase | <0.001 |
| | Zhao et al. (2020) | China | 2017 | 27 | - | 4036 | 1501 | Adults | - | - | PSS-4 | - | Increase | <0.001 |
| | Psychiatric samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Liu et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 2 | - | 76 | 76 | Adults | 48.5 | Substance use disorder (heroin) | HAM-A | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.01 |
| | Liu et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 6 | - | 76 | 76 | Adults | 48.5 | Substance use disorder (heroin) | PSS | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.01 |
| | Lugo-Marín et al. (2021) | Spain (Catalonia) | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | Adults | 32.8 | Autism spectrum disorder | SCL-90-R Anxiety subscale | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Decrease | - |
| | Pan et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 2006 | 39 | 58 | 1181 | 1181 | Adults | 56 | Depression, anxiety, or OCD | PSWQ | Mixed-effects models | Increase | range, 0.0007–0.35 |
| | Rutherford et al. (2021) | USA | - | 1 | - | 46 | 46 | Older adults | 62.5 | PTSD | HARS | - | - | - |
| | Yocum et al. (2021) | USA | - | 12 | 62 | 345 | 345 | Adults | 49 | Bipolar diagnosis | GAD-7 | Generalized estimating equations | Decrease | 0.32 |
| | Child and adolescent | psychiatric sam | ples | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Breaux et al. (2021) | USA | 09/2018 | 3 | 90.8 | 238 | 238 | Children and adolescents | - | ADHD (approximately half of sample) | RCADS Anxiety subscale | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | 0.008 |
| - | | | | | | - | - | | | | | | - | |

| Author (year) | Country | T1 data collection started | Months elapsed T1–T2 | Response rate (%) | T1 <i>N</i> | T2 N | Sample age group | Age (M) | Sample characteristics | Symptom measure | Statistical approach | Symptom change direction | p value |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|------|--------------------------------|------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Conti et al. (2020) | Italy | - | 7 | - | 61 | 61 | Children and adolescents | - | Neurological and psychiatric disorders | CBCL 1.5-5 | Paired t test | Increase | <0.05 |
| Other selected samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Child and adolescent | samples | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arjmand et al. (2021) | Australia | 11/2/2018 | 3 | - | 775 | 775 | Children and adolescents | - | - | PHQ-4 | Multilevel modeling | Increase | < 0.05 |
| De France et al. (2021) | Canada | - | | 73.9 | 184 | 136 | Children and adolescents | 14.12 | - | MASC | Linear latent growth model | Increase | - |
| Luijten et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 12/2017 | 20 | - | 1318 | 813 | Children and adolescents | 13.2 | - | PROMIS | Mean difference | Increase | <0.01 |
| Magson et al. (2021) | Australia | 2019 | 5 | 53 | 248 | 248 | Children and adolescents | 14.4 | - | SCA-S Generalized Anxiety subscale | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Rogers et al. (2021) | USA | 10/2019 | 6 | 66.8 | 407 | 407 | Children and adolescents | 15.42 | - | GAD-7 | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Medically vulnerable s | amples | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cooley et al. (2021) | USA | - | - | - | 133 | 133 | Adults | 50.3 | HIV diagnosis | HADS Anxiety subscale | Mean difference | Increase | - |
| Mink van der Molen et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 10/2013 | 77 | 66 | 3239 | 1051 | Adults | 56 | Breast cancer survivors | HADS | χ^2 test | - | - |
| Sacre et al. (2021) | Australia | 2018 | 12 | 96 | 450 | 450 | Adults | 66 | Type 2 diabetes | GAD-7 | Multilevel modeling | - | 0.46 |
| Stojanov et al. (2020) | Serbia | 2017 | 27 | - | 64 | 64 | Adults | 54.1 | Myasthenia gravis (MG) | HAM-A | Mann–Whitney test | Increase | ns |
| Thombs et al. (2020) | Canada, France, UK, USA | 07/2019 | 4 | 37.1 | 435 | 435 | Adults | 56.9 | Systemic sclerosis | PROMIS Anxiety 4a | Standardized mean difference | Increase | - |
| Wong et al. (2020) | | 04/03/2018 | 12 | 90.8 | 583 | 583 | Older adults | 70.9 | 2 or more chronic health conditions | GAD-7 | Paired t test | Increase | 0.011 |
| Zambelli, Fidalgo, Halstead, and Dimitriou (2021) | | 02/2020 | 1 | 52 | 636 | 636 | Adults | 42.9 | Chronic pain | HADS Anxiety subscale | Paired t test | - | 0.713 |

| Older adult samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|----|------|------|--------|--------------|-------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Herrera et al. (2021) | Chile | 11/2019 | 5 | - | 721 | 721 | Older adults | 71.59 | - | GAI-SF | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Krendl and Perry (2021) | USA | 06/2019 | 7 | 78.3 | 120 | 94 | Older adults | 74.9 | - | GAD-7 | - | Increase | - |
| Rutherford et al. (2021) | USA | - | 1 | - | 30 | 30 | Older adults | 67.4 | Trauma exposed comparison group | HARS | - | - | - |
| Siew et al. (2021) | Singapore | - | 5 | - | 411 | 411 | Older adults | 69 | - | GAI | Pearson's correlation | Increase | ns |
| Other selected sample | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Flentje et al. (2020) | USA | 06/2019 | 9 | - | 2288 | 2288 | Adults | 36.9 | Sexual and gender minorities | GAD-7 | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Loret de Mola et al. (2021) | Brazil | 1/1/2019 | 5 | - | 1028 | 1028 | Adults | 27.5 | Pregnant women | GAD-7 | Mixed-effects models | Increase | <0.001 |
| Hill et al. (2021) | USA | 11/2019 | 7 | 75.6 | 3078 | 3078 | Older adults | 63.2 | Veterans | PHQ-4 | McNemar's test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Depression | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unselected and under | graduate samp | les | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Algattas et al. (2021) | USA | 1/1/2020 | 1 | 89.5 | 19 | 17 | Adults | - | Neurosurgery residents | IDS-30 | Paired t test | Decrease | 0.397 |
| Ayuso-Mateos et al. (2021) | Spain | 6/17/2019 | 3 | 57 | 1103 | 1103 | Adults | 54.82 | - | CIDI-Depression | McNemar's test | Decrease | 0.216 |
| Brunoni et al. (2021) | Brazil | 2008 | 14 | 51.7 | 2117 | - | Adults | 62.32 | - | CIS-R | Cochran's Q test for paired data | Decrease | ns |
| Bussone et al. (2020) | USA | - | 6 | - | 68 | 68 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | SCL-90-R Depression subscale | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | 0.004 |
| Campos et al. (2021) | | 08/2019 | 6 | 22.4 | 294 | 66 | Adults | 21.7 | Pharmacy students | DASS-21 Depression subscale | Prevalence rates | Increase | - |
| Cooley et al. (2021) | USA | _ | _ | - | 54 | 54 | Adults | 48.5 | - | BDI-II | Mean difference | Increase | - |
| Ebrahimi, Hoffart, and Johnson (2021) | | 2015 | 50 | - | 1944 | 10 061 | Adults | 36 | - | PHQ-9 | Prevalence rates | - | - |
| Elmer et al. (2020) | Switzerland | 2018 | 7 | - | 212 | 212 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | CES-D | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Emery et al. (2021) | USA | 2017 | 16 | 46 | 720 | 670 | Adults | 25.19 | - | 6 item scale (Kandel and Davies, 1982) | - | - | - |
| Ergenekon et al. (2021) | Turkey | 2017 | 36 | - | 21 | 21 | Adults | 40.6 | Mothers of children on home ventilation | BDI | - | - | 0.09 |

| Author (year) | Country | T1 data collection started | Months elapsed T1–T2 | Response rate (%) | T1 <i>N</i> | T2 <i>N</i> | Sample age group | Age (M) | Sample characteristics | Symptom measure | Statistical approach | Symptom change direction | p value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Evans et al. (2021) | UK | 10/2019 | 5 | 84.1 | 259 | 259 | Adults | 19.76 | Undergraduate students | HADS | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.001 |
| Feinberg et al. (2021) | USA | 2017 | 3 | 53.6 | 206 | 206 | Adults | - | Parents | CES-D | Hierarchical linear modeling | Increase | <0.001 |
| Gosselin et al. (2021) | France | - | 3 | - | 100 | 100 | Adults | - | - | PHQ-9 | Paired McNemar χ^2 test | Increase | 0.17 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 36 | 146 | 146 | Adults | 43.75 | MTurk sample (2 of 3) | DASS-21 Depression subscale | Paired t test | - | 0.92 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 58 | 300 | 300 | Adults | 41.38 | MTurk sample (1 of 3) | PHQ-8 | Paired t test | - | 0.585 |
| Haliwa et al. (2021) | | 09/2019 | 3 | 35 | 142 | 142 | Adults | 40.46 | MTurk sample (3 of 3) | PHQ-8 | Paired t test | - | 0.62 |
| Hamadani et al. (2020) | Bangladesh | 07/2017 | 15 | 72.8 | 2424 | 2424 | Adults | 24.1 | - | CES-D | Interrupted time series analysis | Increase | <0.001 |
| Hyland et al. (2021) | Ireland | 02/2019 | 13 | - | 1020 | 1041 | Adults | 44.04 | - | PHQ-9 | Structural equation modeling | Decrease | <0.001 |
| Ilgen et al. (2021) | Poland | - | - | - | 99 | 99 | Adults | 35 | - | BDI | Paired t test | Increase | <0.01 |
| Lau et al. (2021) | England | - | 3 | - | 104 | 104 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | PHQ-9 | Paired t test | Increase | ns |
| Lee et al. (2020) | USA | 01/2020 | 3 | 95 | 564 | 564 | Adults | 25.1 | - | PHQ-4 | Count ratios | Increase | 0.013 |
| Minhas et al. (2021) | Canada | - | - | 73 | 473 | 473 | Adults | 23.8 | - | PHQ-9 | Linear mixed- effects models | Increase | <0.001 |
| Novotný et al. (2020) | Czech Republic | - | - | 39.2 | 715 | 715 | Adults | 46.12 | - | PHQ | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Pan et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 2006 | 39 | 58 | 336 | 336 | Adults | 57.7 | Healthy controls | QIDS | Mixed-effects models | Increase | <0.0001 |
| Peters et al. (2020) | Germany | - | 1 | 55.5 | 113 928 | 113 928 | Adults | 50 | - | PHQ-9 | - | Increase | - |
| Ramiz et al. (2021) | France | 2014 | 3.5 | 12.8 | 1237 | 1237 | Adults | 62 | - | PHQ-9 | - | - | - |
| Saraswathi et al. (2020) | India | 12/2019 | 6 | 90.8 | 217 | 217 | Adults | 20 | Undergraduate students | DASS-21 Depression subscale | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Increase | 0.146 |
| Winkler et al. (2021) | Czech Republic | 2017 | 30 | - | 3306 | 3021 | Adults | 47.87 | - | MINI | - | - | - |
| Yang, Ji, et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 4 | - | 2364 | 2364 | Adults | 20.04 | Undergraduate students | DASS-21 Depression subscale | Paired t test | Decrease | <0.001 |

| In Process (D22)ChinaChinaSACaNotariaCR-SORepeated measures. MoRIAIncrease additional sequencingVocum et al. (D22)USA-12C212147147Adults49-PHO2Generalizad sequencingDecember sequencingZhang et al. (D22)0.120.2-1004949AdultsPHO2Zhang et al. (D22)0.120.20.20.240049AdultsPHO2Psychiatric samples400Adults2.6Adults | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|----|------|------|------|--|---------|---|------------------------------------|--|----------|-----------------------|
| Pround at Call 200VisaParaP | | Yang, Hu, et al. (2021) | China | - | 6 | - | 195 | 195 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | CES-D | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.001 |
| Zhang et al. (2020) Ol1/2020 - 100 49 49 Adults - Undergraduate students PHQ-9 - | | Yocum et al. (2021) | USA | - | 12 | 62 | 147 | 147 | Adults | 49 | - | PHQ-9 | Generalized estimating equations | Decrease | <0.0001 |
| Zhao et al. (2029) Ohina 2017 27 - 4036 1501 Adults - - PHQ 2 - Increase 4.001 Psychiatric samples - Adams et al. (2021) USA 3/11/2020 2 87.3 27.5 27.5 Adults 24.4 Autisn spectrum abscrate NSS-21 pepression Repositod- measures - . Roota .< | | Zhang et al. (2020) | | 01/2020 | - | 100 | 49 | 49 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | PHQ-9 | - | - | - |
| Psychiatric samples Addams et al. (2022) MA 3/11/2020 2 87.3 77.5 Adlus 20.6 Aulsins spectrum founderent bing easing subscale Researces AutOCOM Researces AutoDCOM Researces AutOCOM | | Zhao et al. (2020) | China | 2017 | 27 | - | 4036 | 1501 | Adults | - | - | PHQ-2 | - | Increase | <0.001 |
| Adams et al (2021)VisaAl'14200A87.3A7.5Adu28.4Autism spectrum kinderDespection spectrum spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum spectrumDespection spectrum | F | Psychiatric samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Giel et al. (2021) Germany - - 52 42 42 Adults 43.4 Eating disorder with ingreating disorder with ingreat | | Adams et al. (2021) | USA | 3/11/2020 | 2 | 87.3 | 275 | 275 | Adults | 26.45 | Autism spectrum disorder | DASS-21 Depression subscale | Repeated- measures ANCOVA | - | ns |
| Liu et al. (2021)ChinaJ0/20192-7676Adults48.5Substance use disorder (heroin)HAM-DRepasted measures ANQMIncrease0.01Lugo-Marin et al.Spain (Catalonia)7676Adults48.5Substance use disorder (heroin)SCL-90-R measures ANQMmilecon signedDecrease and et al | | Giel et al. (2021) | Germany | - | - | 52 | 42 | 42 | Adults | 43.4 | Eating disorder with frequent binge eating episodes | BDI | Wald χ^2 | Increase | 0.02 |
| Lugo-Marin et al. (2021)Spain (Catalonia)3535Adults32.8Autism spectrum disorderSCL-90-R peression subscaleWilcoxon signed rank testDecrease | | Liu et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 2 | - | 76 | 76 | Adults | 48.5 | Substance use disorder (heroin) | HAM-D | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.01 |
| Panet al. (2021)The Netherlands2006395811811181Adults56Depression, anxiety, or ODQIOSMixed-effects modelsIncrease o.0038-0.73Vocum et al. (2021)USA-1262345345Adults49Bipolar diagnosisPHQ-9Agenetalized estimationsDecrease0.57Child and adolescentBreaux et al. (2021)09/2018390.8238238Children | | Lugo-Marín et al. (2021) | Spain (Catalonia) | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | Adults | 32.8 | Autism spectrum disorder | SCL-90-R Depression subscale | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Decrease | - |
| Yourn et al. (2021)USA-1262345345Adults49Bipolar diagnosisPHQ-9Generalized scientaring etinating equationsDecrease 10.15Child and adolescert surpersurper surpersurper surper99.2018390.8238238Children and adolescentssubscaleRCADS Depression Repeated-measures ANOVAIncrease-0.01Breaux et al. (2021)USA09/20183-3232Children and adolescents-SubscaleRepeated-measures ANOVAIncrease0.001Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021)Spain11/20193-3232Children and adolescents0.91SubscalePaired f testIncrease0.001Other selected sample:subscalesubscalePaired f testIncrease0.001SubscaleIncrease0.001Child and adolescent subscalesubscalesubscalePaired f testIncrease0.001Child and adolescent subscalesubscalesubscalePaired f testIncrease0.001Child and adolescent subscalesubscalesubscaleSubscalePaired f testIncrease0.011Child and adolescent subscalesubscalesubscaleSubscaleSubscaleSubscaleSubscaleSubscaleChild and adolescent subscalesubscalesubscalesubscaleSubscaleSubscaleSubscaleSubscaleSubscaleAginand et al. (2021)subscalesubscalesubscaleSubscale | | Pan et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 2006 | 39 | 58 | 1181 | 1181 | Adults | 56 | Depression, anxiety, or OCD | QIDS | Mixed-effects models | Increase | range, 0.0038–0.73 |
| Child and adolescentsBreaux et al. (2021)USA09/2018390.8238238Children and adolescents-ADHD (approximately half of sample)RCADS Depression measures ANOVAARepeated- measures ANOVAA-0.001Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021)Spain11/20193-3232Children and adolescents10.96DyslexiaCDI-SPaired t testIncrease0.001Other selected samples <td></td> <td>Yocum et al. (2021)</td> <td>USA</td> <td>-</td> <td>12</td> <td>62</td> <td>345</td> <td>345</td> <td>Adults</td> <td>49</td> <td>Bipolar diagnosis</td> <td>PHQ-9</td> <td>Generalized estimating equations</td> <td>Decrease</td> <td>0.15</td> | | Yocum et al. (2021) | USA | - | 12 | 62 | 345 | 345 | Adults | 49 | Bipolar diagnosis | PHQ-9 | Generalized estimating equations | Decrease | 0.15 |
| Breaux et al. (2021)USA09/2018390.8238238Children and adolescentsADHD (approximate) half of sample)RCADS Depression measures ANOVARepeated- measures ANOVAIncrease<-0.01Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021)Spain11/20193-3232Children and and | C | Child and adolescent | osychiatric sam | ples | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021)Spain11/20193-3232Children and adolescents10.96DyslexiaCDI-SPaired t testIncrease0.001Other selected samplesChild and adolescent samplesArjmand et al. (2021)Australia11/2/20183-775775Children and adolescentsPHQ-4Multilevel modelingIncrease<0.01 | | Breaux et al. | USA | 09/2018 | 3 | 90.8 | 238 | 238 | Children | | ADHD (approvimately | RCADS Depression | Repeated- | Increase | <0.001 |
| Other selected samples Child and adolescent samples Arjmand et al. (2021) Australia 11/2/2018 3 - 775 775 Children and and adolescents - - PHQ-4 Multilevel modeling Increase <0.01 | | (2021) | | · | | | | 230 | and adolescents | - | half of sample) | subscale | measures ANOVA | | |
| Child and adolescent samples Arjmand et al. (2021) Australia 11/2/2018 3 - 775 775 Children and adolescents - - PHQ-4 Multilevel modeling Increase <0.01 De France et al. (2021) Canada - - 73.9 184 136 Children and adolescents 14.12 - CDI Linear latent growth model Increase - - | | (2021) Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021) | Spain | 11/2019 | 3 | - | 32 | 32 | and adolescents Children and adolescents | 10.96 | balf of sample) | subscale CDI-S | Paired <i>t</i> test | Increase | 0.001 |
| Arjmand et al. (2021)Australia11/2/20183-775775Children and adolescents-PHQ-4Multilevel modelingIncrease<0.01De France et al. (2021)Canada73.9184136Children and adolescents14.12-CDILinear latent growth modelIncrease | Oth | (2021) Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021) her selected samples | Spain | 11/2019 | 3 | - | 32 | 32 | and adolescents Children and adolescents | - 10.96 | balf of sample) | subscale CDI-S | measures ANOVA Paired <i>t</i> test | Increase | 0.001 |
| De France et al. Canada – – 73.9 184 136 Children 14.12 – CDI Linear latent Increase – (2021) and growth model adolescents | Otł | (2021) Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021) her selected samples Child and adolescent s | Spain | 11/2019 | 3 | - | 32 | 32 | and adolescents Children and adolescents | 10.96 | Dyslexia | subscale CDI-S | Paired <i>t</i> test | Increase | 0.001 |
| | Oth | (2021) Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021) her selected samples Child and adolescent s Arjmand et al. (2021) | Spain samples Australia | 11/2019 11/2/2018 | 3 | - | 775 | 32 | Children and adolescents Children and adolescents Children and adolescents | - 10.96 | – | Subscale CDI-S PHQ-4 | Multilevel modeling | Increase | <0.01 |

| Author (year) | Country | T1 data collection started | Months elapsed T1–T2 | Response rate (%) | T1 <i>N</i> | T2 <i>N</i> | Sample age group | Age (M) | Sample characteristics | Symptom measure | Statistical approach | Symptom change direction | p value |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Luijten et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 12/2017 | 20 | - | 1318 | 813 | Children and adolescents | 13.2 | - | PROMIS | Mean difference | Increase | <0.01 |
| Magson et al. (2021) | Australia | 2019 | 5 | 53 | 248 | 248 | Children and adolescents | 14.4 | - | SMFQ-C | Paired <i>t</i> test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Rogers et al. (2021) | USA | 10/2019 | 6 | 66.8 | 407 | 407 | Children and adolescents | 15.42 | - | CDI-S | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Teng et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 5 | 95.5 | 1778 | 1778 | Children and adolescents | - | Videogame players | CES-D | Paired t test | - | 0.09 |
| Thorisdottir et al. (2021) | Iceland | 02/2018 | 12 | - | 3665 | 3123 | Children and adolescents | 15 | - | SCL-90 Depression dimension | Standardized mean difference | Increase | - |
| Thorisdottir et al. (2021) | Iceland | 02/2018 | 12 | - | 3494 | 3013 | Children and adolescents | 16 | - | SCL-90 Depression dimension | Standardized mean difference | Increase | - |
| Thorisdottir et al. (2021) | Iceland | 02/2018 | 12 | - | 3846 | 3421 | Children and adolescents | 14 | - | SCL-90 Depression dimension | Standardized mean difference | Increase | - |
| Thorisdottir et al. (2021) | Iceland | 10/2018 | 12 | - | 3900 | 3292 | Children and adolescents | 13 | - | SCL-90 Depression dimension | Standardized mean difference | Increase | - |
| Thorisdottir et al. (2021) | Iceland | 10/2018 | 12 | - | 2819 | 2080 | Children and adolescents | 18 | - | SCL-90 Depression dimension | Standardized mean difference | Increase | - |
| Thorisdottir et al. (2021) | Iceland | 10/2018 | 12 | - | 3098 | 2546 | Children and adolescents | 17 | - | SCL-90 Depression dimension | Standardized mean difference | Increase | - |
| Medically vulnerable s | amples | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capuano et al. (2021) | Italy | 09/2019 | 4 | - | 75 | 67 | Adults | 37.5 | Multiple sclerosis | BDI-II | Paired t test | - | 0.117 |
| Cooley et al. (2021) | USA | - | - | - | 133 | 133 | Adults | 50.3 | HIV diagnosis | BDI-II | Mean difference | Increase | - |
| Gul (2021) | Turkey | 10/2019 | 6 | - | 116 | 116 | Adults | - | Epilepsy | BDI | - | Increase | 0.048 |
| Mink van der Molen et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 10/2013 | 77 | 66 | 3239 | 1051 | Adults | 56 | Breast cancer survivors | HADS | χ^2 test | - | - |
| Sacre et al. (2021) | Australia | 2018 | 12 | 96 | 450 | 450 | Adults | 66 | Type 2 diabetes | PHQ-8 | Multilevel modeling | - | 0.98 |

| Stojanov et al. (2020) | Serbia | 2017 | 27 | - | 64 | 64 | Adults | 54.1 | Myasthenia gravis (MG) | HAMD | Mann–Whitney test | Increase | ns |
|--|-------------------------------|------------|----|------|--------|--------|--------------|-------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Strizović et al. (2021) | Serbia | 12/15/2019 | 11 | 82.2 | 97 | 97 | Adults | 36 | Epilepsy | NVDDI-E, Serbian version | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Thombs et al. (2020) | Canada, France, UK, USA | 07/2019 | 4 | 37.1 | 388 | 388 | Adults | 56.9 | Systemic sclerosis | PHQ-8 | Standardized mean difference | Decrease | - |
| Ugurlucan et al. (2021) | | 01/2018 | 6 | 67.5 | 77 | 77 | Adults | 30.2 | Vaginismus | BDI | Paired t test | Increase | 0.02 |
| Villani et al. (2020) | | - | 1 | 20.8 | 46 | 46 | Adults | 40.6 | Down syndrome | DRS | Sign test for matched data | Increase | 0.032 |
| Yildirim et al. (2021) | Turkey | 02/03/2020 | 1 | 93.4 | 595 | 595 | Adults | 50.58 | Breast, ovarian, colorectal, or gastric cancer | BDI | - | Increase | - |
| Zambelli et al. (<mark>2021</mark>) | | 02/2020 | 1 | 52 | 636 | 636 | Adults | 42.9 | Chronic pain | HADS-D | Paired t test | Decrease | 0.001 |
| Older adult samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barcellos et al. (2021) | USA | 11/2019 | 3 | 64 | 16 644 | 16 644 | Older adults | 64.3 | - | PHQ-2 | Paired test of mean differences | Increase | <0.001 |
| Hamm et al. (2020) | USA | - | 1 | 66.4 | 73 | 73 | Older adults | 69.2 | - | PHQ-9 | Paired t test | Decrease | 0.8 |
| Herrera et al. (2021) | Chile | 11/2019 | 5 | - | 720 | 720 | Older adults | 71.59 | - | PHQ-9 | Paired t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Krendl and Perry (2021) | USA | 06/2019 | 7 | 78.3 | 120 | 94 | Older adults | 74.9 | - | PHQ-4 | Paired t test | Increase | 0.003 |
| McArthur et al. (2021) | USA | 01/2017 | - | - | 765 | - | Older adults | 81.4 | - | interRAI LTCF – DRS | χ^2 test | Decrease | <0.002 |
| Mishra et al. (2021) | USA | - | 6 | - | 10 | 10 | Older adults | 77.3 | Elevated risk of falling | CES-D | Paired t test | Increase | 0.046 |
| Nogueira et al. (2021) | Portugal | - | 17 | 59.5 | 150 | 150 | Older adults | 69 | - | GSD-30 | Paired t test | Increase | 0.001 |
| Rutherford et al. (2021) | USA | - | 1 | - | 30 | 30 | Older adults | 67.4 | Trauma exposed comparisons | HRSD | Generalized linear mixed models | Increase | 0.025 |
| Rutherford et al. (2021) | USA | - | 1 | - | 46 | 46 | Older adults | 62.5 | PTSD | HRSD | Generalized linear mixed models | Increase | 0.181 |
| Wong et al. (2020) | Hong Kong | 04/03/2018 | 12 | 90.8 | 583 | 583 | Older adults | 70.9 | 2 or more chronic health conditions | PHQ-9 | Paired t test | Increase | 0.359 |
| Other selected samples | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Psychological Medicine

| Author (year) | Country | T1 data collection started | Months elapsed T1–T2 | Response rate (%) | T1 <i>N</i> | T2 <i>N</i> | Sample age group | Age (M) | Sample characteristics | Symptom measure | Statistical approach | Symptom change direction | p value |
|---|--------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Fruehwirth et al. (2021) | USA | 1/2020 | 4 | 42 | 419 | 419 | Adults | 18.9 | Sexual and gender minority students | PHQ-8 | Prevalence rates | Increase | <0.001 |
| Hill et al. (2021) | USA | | 7 | 75.6 | 4069 | 3078 | Older adults | 63.2 | Veterans | PHQ-4 | McNemar's test | - | 0.07 |
| King, Feddoes, Kirshenbaum, Humphreys, and Gotlib (2021) | USA | 02/2017 | 11 | - | 82 | 82 | Adults | 33.56 | Pregnant women | EPDS | Welch's t test | Increase | <0.001 |
| Lorentz et al. (2021) | Brazil | 11/2019 | - | 40 | 50 | 50 | Adults | 25 | Pregnant women | EPDS | Friedman's test | Increase | 0.004 |
| Loret de Mola et al. (2021) | Brazil | 1/1/2019 | 5 | - | 1042 | 1042 | Adults | 27.5 | Pregnant women | EPDS | Mixed-effects models | Increase | <0.001 |
| Perzow et al. (2021) | USA | – (average 3.8 months pre- pandemic) | | - | 135 | 135 | Adults | 31.81 | Pregnant women oversampled for elevated depression symptoms | EPDS | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.001 |
| General distress | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unselected and under | graduate samp | les | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achterberg et al. (2021) | The Netherlands | 2019 | - | 52 | 99 | 105 | Adults | 44.89 | - | BSI | Friedman's test | Increase | 0.002 |
| Bussone et al. (2020) | USA | - | 6 | - | 68 | 68 | Adults | - | Undergraduate students | STAI-Y | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.001 |
| Chandola et al. (2020) | UK | 2019 | 1 | 49 | - | 13 754 | Adults | - | - | GHQ-12 | Prevalence rates | - | - |
| Ergenekon et al. (2021) | Turkey | 2017 | 36 | - | 21 | 21 | Adults | 40.6 | Mothers of children on home ventilation | STAI-T | - | - | 0.46 |
| da Freitas et al. (2021) | Brazil | 03/2019 | 7 | 52.2 | 71 | 71 | Adults | 21.26 | Undergraduate students | STAI | Paired t test | Decrease | 0.047 |
| Gagné et al. (2021) | Canada | 03/2019 | 12 | 77 | 127 | 127 | Adults | - | - | K10 | ANOVA | Increase | 0.046 |
| Li et al. (2020) | China | 12/20/2019 | 2 | 88.9 | 555 | 555 | Adults | 19.6 | Undergraduate students | PHQ-4 | Paired t test | Decrease | <0.001 |
| Seitz et al. (2021) | Germany | 09/2018 | 5 | 60.7 | 22 | 22 | Adults | 31.3 | Healthy volunteers | BSI | Paired t test | Increase | - |
| Soriano-Ferrer et al. (2021) | Spain | 11/2019 | 3 | - | 32 | 32 | Adults | 42.2 | Mothers of children with dyslexia | PSI-SF | Paired t test | Increase | 0.001 |
| Twenge and Joiner (2020) | USA | 2018 | 16 | - | 19 330 | 2032 | Adults | 44.7 | - | K6 | Mean difference | Increase | - |

| van Z Rothn Zonde Zwijne (2021) | iyl, nann, and ervan- enburg) | The Netherlands | 01/2020 | - | - | 141 | 141 | Adults | - | - | Mental Health Continuum Short Form | Latent growth model | - | ns |
|---|--|----------------------|---------|----|------|------|------|--------------------------------|-------|--|--|-------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Psychiat | tric samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lugo- (<mark>2021</mark>) | Marín et al.) | Spain (Catalonia) | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | Adults | 32.8 | Autism spectrum disorder | SCL-90-R | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Decrease | - |
| Lugo- (2021) | Marín et al.) | Spain (Catalonia) | - | - | - | 37 | 37 | Children and adolescents | 10.7 | Autism spectrum disorder | CBCL Anxious/ Depressed subscale | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | - | - |
| Seitz | et al. (2021) | Germany | 09/2018 | 5 | 60.7 | 63 | 63 | Adults | 31.3 | MDD, PTSD, or somatic symptom disorder | BSI | Paired t test | Increase | - |
| Soriar et al. | no-Ferrer (2021) | Spain | 11/2019 | 3 | - | 32 | 32 | Children and adolescents | 10.96 | Dyslexia | STAIC | Paired t test | Increase | 0.001 |
| Other sele | cted samples | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Child an | nd adolescent s | samples | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achte (2021) | rberg et al.) | The Netherlands | 2019 | - | 37 | 203 | 209 | Children and adolescents | 12 | - | SDQ | Friedman's test | Increase | - |
| Gagné | é et al. (2021) | Canada | 03/2019 | 12 | 77 | 127 | 127 | Children and adolescents | 10 | - | SDQ | ANOVA | Decrease | <0.001 |
| Teng | et al. (2021) | China | 10/2019 | 5 | 95.5 | 1778 | 1778 | Children and adolescents | - | Videogame players | STAI | Paired t test | Increase | 0.004 |
| Medicall | ly vulnerable s | amples | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asquir Borro Locate (2021) | ni, Bianchi, meo, elli, and Falla) | Italy | 7/2019 | 4 | 89 | 40 | 40 | Adults | - | Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) | HADS | Median difference | Increase | - |
| Ayaz e | et al. (<mark>2020</mark>) | Turkey | - | - | - | 63 | 63 | Adults | 30.35 | Pregnant women | IDAS-II | - | Increase | <0.001 |
| Boner (2021) | nkamp et al.) | The Netherlands | 12/2017 | 3 | - | 177 | 177 | Adults | 64.9 | Dialysis patients | Mental Component Summary (MCS) score of 12-item Short Form (SF- 12) health survey | Wilcoxon signed- rank test | Increase | 0.2 |
| Capua (2021) | ano et al.) | Italy | 09/2019 | 4 | - | 75 | 67 | Adults | 37.5 | Multiple sclerosis | STAI-T | Paired t test | - | 0.319 |

17

| Author (year) | Country | T1 data collection started | Months elapsed T1–T2 | Response rate (%) | T1 <i>N</i> | T2 <i>N</i> | Sample age group | Age (M) | Sample characteristics | Symptom measure | Statistical approach | Symptom change direction | p value |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Kidd et al. (2021) | USA | 2019 | 3 | 76.4 | 208 | 208 | Adults | 37.8 | Transgender and gender non-binary individuals | BSI-18 | Paired t test | Increase | 0.008 |
| Perzow et al. (2021) | USA | _ | - | _ | 135 | 135 | Adults | 31.81 | Pregnant women oversampled for elevated depression symptoms | STAI-SF | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Increase | <0.001 |
| Rivera et al. (2021 |) Spain | - | - | 54.8 | 51 | 51 | Adults | 48.2 | Fibromyalgia | Combined Index of Severity in Fibromyalgia | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Decrease | 0.604 |

OCD, obsessive-compulsive disorder; MDD, major depressive disorder; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; Y-BOCS, Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale; CIS-R, Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised; CBCL, Child Behaviour Check List; OCI-R, Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised; PCL-5, PTSD Checklist for DSM-5; SC2L-90-R, Symptom Checklist 90 Revised; CV-BOCS, Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale; DASS, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (42 or 21 item version specified); BAI, Beck Anxiety Subscale; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire (2, 4, 8, or 9-item version specified); PSWQ, Penn State Worry Questionnaire; RCADS, Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scales; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scales; GAD, Generalized Anxiety Disorder (2 or 7-item version specified); MASC, Multidimensional Anxiety Inventory for Children's PSS, Perceived Stress Scale (4-item version specified); GAI, Geriatric Anxiety Inventory (Short Form [SF] specified); HAM-A, Hamilton Anxiety Scale; PROMIS, Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; SCA-S, Spence Children's Anxiety Scale; MINI, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; IDS-30, Inventory of Depressive Symptomology 30; CIDI, Composite International Diagnostic Interview; CDI, Children's Depression Inventory (Short Form [S] specified); CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; FPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Scale; SMFQ-C, Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire – Child Version; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; QIDS, Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms; NVDDI-E, Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory for Epilepsy; DRS, Depression Rating Scale; BSI, Brief (10 or 6-item version); PSI-SF, Parenting Stress Index – Short Form.

Note. In many cases, authors only reported T1 data (e.g. sample size) for participants for whom T2 data were also available. An equivalent *N* at T1 and T2 may be indicative of participants with available data at both timepoints, rather than the total sample being the same size at both timpoints. Response rate is reported as the percentage of participants with available data at T1 who responded to an invitation to participate in T2 follow-up data collection. Many studies invited only a subset of participants from T1 to participate at T2; hence, response rate is not always obtained by diving *N* at T2 by *N* at T1.

depression increased by 7.8% (from 4% in November 2017 to 11.8% in May 2020) across two nationally representative samples of over 3000 Czech adults (Winkler et al., 2021). Incidence doubled across two representative random samples of Chinese adults (from 6.3% of 4054 adults in 2017 to 14.8% of 1501 adults in April 2020; Zhao et al., 2020); and increased more than fivefold in a representative random sample of 715 Czech adults (Novotný et al., 2020).

Studies comparing demographic groups found that younger adults (e.g. under age 60; Peters et al., 2020) and women (Fruehwirth, Biswas, & Perreira, 2021; Minhas et al. 2021; Peters et al. 2020) were especially vulnerable to increased depression incidence or severity. Studies of undergraduates had proportionately more non-significant results, which may be due in part to smaller sample sizes (although see findings from Yang, Ji, et al., 2021, above).

Of seven studies including psychiatric samples, findings were mixed, with increases in depression severity reported in a sample of 1181 Dutch participants with internalizing disorders and in 336 healthy controls (Pan et al., 2021); 52 German adults with eating disorders (Giel, Schurr, Zipfel, Junne, & Schag, 2021); and 76 Chinese adults with substance use disorder (Liu et al., 2021). The remaining studies reported no change in depression in 275 American adults with autism (Adams et al., 2021); a decrease in 35 Catalán adults with autism (Lugo-Marín et al., 2021); or nonsignificant changes (Rutherford et al., 2021; Yocum et al., 2021).

Of nine studies with child and adolescent samples, all but two reported an increase in depression severity, with some studies reporting small effect sizes (e.g. Magson et al., 2021; Rogers et al., 2021), and others reporting moderate-to-large effects (e.g. Breaux et al., 2021; Thorisdottir et al., 2021). Only one large study did not find changes (1778 Chinese children and adolescents recruited through school-based cluster sampling; Teng, Pontes, Nie, Griffiths, & Guo, 2021). Studies that examined demographic predictors tended to find worse outcomes for girls compared to boys (e.g. De France et al., 2021; Thorisdottir et al., 2021) and for children whose parents experienced employment difficulties (e.g. Luijten et al., 2021).

Of seven studies examining depression in older adults, five reported an increase in incidence or severity. In the largest study, a national opt-in panel survey of 16 644 older American adults (Barcellos, Jacobson, & Stone, 2021), this increase was driven by an increase in women only. Of 13 studies examining changes in depression in other medically vulnerable individuals, seven reported increases in severity, though only two studies with sample sizes >100 found this increase. The remainder of larger studies reported no change (k = 4) or a decrease (k = 1). This pattern is potentially suggestive of a true null effect.

Seven studies assessed other selected samples, with all but the smallest reporting an increase in incidence or severity of depression, typically with moderate or large effect sizes. A study of 2288 American SGM individuals found a small increase in self-reported depression severity (Flentje et al., 2020). In a sample of 419 American undergraduates (Fruehwirth et al., 2021), increased depression was observed in both SGM and non-SGM students. Four studies assessed participants who were pregnant or newly post-partum, with *Ns* ranging from 50 (Lorentz et al., 2021) to 1042 (Loret de Mola et al., 2021).

Across studies, findings for depression did not follow a clear pattern. While the majority of studies reported increased depression incidence or severity, other studies in similar populations found no change or a decrease. Effect sizes (k = 24) tended to be small (median Cohen's d = 0.22, range = -0.2 to 1.4).

19

General distress (k = 23)

Of 10 studies investigating general psychological distress in unselected samples, seven reported pandemic-related increases. These included the three largest studies, including two nationally representative samples (2032 American adults, Twenge & Joiner, 2020; 13 754 adults in the UK, Chandola, Kumari, Booker, & Benzeval, 2020). The magnitude of these increases varied, but tended to be large. Only two studies found a decrease in general distress, in 555 Chinese undergraduate students (Li, Cao, Leung, & Mak, 2020); and 71 Brazilian undergraduates (da Freitas, de Medeiros, & de Lopes, 2021). In both cases, absolute severity was low at both occasions.

Of four studies examining general distress in psychiatric samples, increased severity was observed in 76 Chinese adults undergoing methadone maintenance treatment (Liu et al., 2021); 32 children with dyslexia and their mothers (Soriano-Ferrer, Morte-Soriano, Begeny, & Piedra-Martínez, 2021); and 66 adults with psychiatric diagnoses and 22 healthy controls (Seitz et al., 2021). Only one study, of 37 children and 35 adults on the autism spectrum (Lugo-Marín et al., 2021), found no change in psychiatric distress.

Results for children and adolescents without psychiatric diagnoses were variable. A study of 1778 Chinese youth who play video games (Teng et al., 2021) found a small increase in distress. However, a study of 127 Canadian youth found a decrease (Gagné, Piché, Clément, & Villatte, 2021), while a study of 203 Dutch youth found no change (Achterberg, Dobbelaar, Boer, & Crone, 2021).

Of six studies in medically vulnerable samples, four found an increase in distress (including both studies in pregnant women; Ayaz et al., 2020; Perzow et al., 2021), while the remainder found no change. Small sample size constrains interpretability for these studies, as the largest (177 dialysis patients; Bonenkamp et al., 2021) found no change in distress, while the next largest (135 pregnant participants; Perzow et al., 2021) found an increase. The remaining studies had fewer than 70 participants each and were likely underpowered to detect increases of small magnitude. Finally, a longitudinal cohort study of 208 American transgender and gender non-binary individuals found an increase in distress (Kidd et al., 2021).

There was a stable tendency for distress to increase in adult samples. Calculable effect sizes (k = 10) tended to be small (median Cohen's d = 0.29; range = -0.24 to 3.8). Undergraduate students and children demonstrated the most consistent exception to this pattern, often showing a decrease in general distress.

General discussion

The present systematic review found that changes in psychopathology from pre- to peri-pandemic varied as a function of symptom cluster and sample characteristics. Contrary to expectations (e.g. Gruber et al., 2021), adults with pre-existing mental health conditions were not disproportionately affected, excepting adults with pre-existing OCD, whose symptoms tended to worsen. Age also showed unexpected effects. Several large studies (Peters et al., 2020; Ramiz et al., 2021; Winkler et al., 2021) found more striking increases in anxiety symptoms in children and relatively younger adults, despite those being among the demographics least susceptible to serious COVID-19 infection. Studies of older adults and medically vulnerable individuals tended to have relatively smaller samples and more mixed results, though studies with larger

These patterns are likely multidetermined, but some candidate explanations can be offered. Different trajectories for anxiety (generally increased) v. fear (generally remained stable) may be attributable in part to the time course of the pandemic. Because acute fear reactions unfold on a much shorter timeline compared to anxiety, assessments weeks or months into the pandemic may have captured increased anxiety, but missed an initial uptick in fear. That women in their 20s-40s showed an especially prominent increase in anxiety and depression stands in contrast to their lower medical risk, and aligns with empirical findings that women took on more caregiving work than men when schools and childcare facilities closed during lockdown (OECD, 2021), which may have been especially stressful in light of reduced social support. Samples with pre-existing psychopathology tended to show increases in OCD symptoms, anxiety, and general distress, perhaps due to heightened vulnerability to contamination fears and prolonged uncertainty associated with a viral pandemic. Student samples, individuals with autism, and medical samples were the most likely to demonstrate stable or decreasing symptoms, suggesting that pandemic-related reductions in academic and social demands may have actually reduced overall stress for these populations.

The conclusions of the present review should be interpreted in light of its relative strengths and limitations. The reviewed studies varied in quality, with tradeoffs evident. The largest and most representative studies tended to use briefer assessments, and countries were not evenly represented. Methodological quality coding indicated that most studies used validated measures and reported average to good response rates, but often relied on smaller convenience samples. This suggests that while samples were characterized accurately, they may have failed to include those less likely to participate in voluntary mental health research, such as older individuals or those with existing psychopathology. However, many of the reviewed studies targeted samples with vulnerabilities related to age and psychiatric or medical characteristics, potentially mitigating bias introduced by non-random sampling methods. Although formal meta-analysis or modeling symptom trajectories was not possible due to considerable variability in utilized measures and reporting standards, we placed greater interpretative weight on studies with larger samples and well-validated assessments (and see Table 1, which includes sample nationality and months elapsed between assessments).

Taken together, these findings underscore the importance of specificity in investigating and responding to pandemic-related changes in mental health. Findings are more consistent with theoretical conceptualizations of the pandemic as a chronic stressor, v. an acute trauma. Increased mental health symptoms may have reflected contextual adaptations to a high-risk environment (i.e. 'true alarms'). Future studies of mental health in disaster contexts should consider the functional context (e.g. potential adaptive value) and impairment associated with symptom changes, while carefully weighing psychometric considerations, such as assuming measurement invariance. Demographic factors such as age, gender, socioeconomic status, and marginalized identity status should also be assessed as possible hidden moderators of disaster impact on mental health. In the present review, although lifespan risk factors such as pregnancy and older age were associated with increased internalizing symptoms, medically vulnerable and most psychiatric

populations showed unexpected resilience, which suggests the potential value of a strengths-based perspective.

Anxiety and other forms of internalizing psychopathology have long been conceptualized as evolutionary adaptations that operate in excess in modern, generally safe contexts (Öhman & Mineka, 2001). Chronically anxious individuals may have experienced a sense of validation from the societal consensus that the environment was unsafe, or may have been more experienced in navigating day-to-day life while anxious. This resilience was not universal, however. Individuals with contamination-related OCD in particular experienced a worsening of symptoms, perhaps due in part to public health messaging around risks of the virus and responsibility for preventing harm. From a clinical and public health perspective, children and relatively younger adults, and particularly younger women, appear to be shouldering most of the mental health burden. Additional research is needed to identify the major psychological determinants of these vulnerabilities (e.g. caregiving responsibilities; social isolation) to best inform the development of public policy and interventions.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291723002295.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Paige DeGennaro for assistance with data checking.

References

- Achterberg, M., Dobbelaar, S., Boer, O. D., & Crone, E. A. (2021). Perceived stress as mediator for longitudinal effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on wellbeing of parents and children. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 1–14.
- Adams, R. E., Zheng, S., Taylor, J. L., & Bishop, S. L. (2021). Ten weeks in: COVID-19-related distress in adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, 25(7), 2140–2145.
- Algattas, H., Roy, S., Agarwal, N., & Maroon, J. (2021). COVID-19 impact in neurosurgery residency: Grit during pandemic. World Neurosurgery, 151, 395–398.
- Alonso, P., Bertolín, S., Segalàs, J., Tubío, M., Real, E., Mar-Barrutia, L., ... Menchón, J. M. (2021). How is COVID-19 affecting patients with obsessivecompulsive disorder? A longitudinal study on the initial phase of the pandemic in a Spanish cohort. European Psychiatry: The Journal of the Association of European Psychiatrists, 64(1), 1–27.
- Arjmand, H.-A., Seabrook, E., Bakker, D., & Rickard, N. (2021). Mental health consequences of adversity in Australia: National bushfires associated with increased depressive symptoms, while COVID-19 pandemic associated with increased symptoms of anxiety. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1–7.
- Asquini, G., Bianchi, A. E., Borromeo, G., Locatelli, M., & Falla, D. (2021). The impact of Covid-19-related distress on general health, oral behaviour, psychosocial features, disability and pain intensity in a cohort of Italian patients with temporomandibular disorders. *PLoS ONE*, 16(2), 1–13.
- Ayaz, R., Hocaoğlu, M., Günay, T., Yardımcı, O. D., Turgut, A., & Karateke, A. (2020). Anxiety and depression symptoms in the same pregnant women before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine*, 48(9), 965–970.
- Ayuso-Mateos, J. L., Morillo, D., Haro, J. M., Olaya, B., Lara, E., & Miret, M. (2021). Changes in depression and suicidal ideation under severe lockdown restrictions during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain: A longitudinal study in the general population. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 30, 1–9.
- Baiano, C., Zappullo, I., Group, T. L., & Conson, M. (2020). Tendency to worry and fear of mental health during Italy's COVID-19 lockdown. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(16), 1–8.
- Barcellos, S., Jacobson, M., & Stone, A. A. (2021). Varied and unexpected changes in the well-being of seniors in the United States amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE*, *16*(6), 1–14.

- Bonanno, G. A., Brewin, C. R., Kaniasty, K., & Greca, A. M. L. (2010). Weighing the costs of disaster: Consequences, risks, and resilience in individuals, families, and communities. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 11(1), 1–49. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100610387086
- Bonenkamp, A. A., Druiventak, T. A., van Eck van der Sluijs, A., van Ittersum, F. J., van Jaarsveld, B. C., & Abrahams, A. C. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on the mental health of dialysis patients. *Journal of Nephrology*, 34(2), 337–344.
- Breaux, R., Dvorsky, M. R., Marsh, N. P., Green, C. D., Cash, A. R., Shroff, D. M., ... Becker, S. P. (2021). Prospective impact of COVID-19 on mental health functioning in adolescents with and without ADHD: Protective role of emotion regulation abilities. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 62(9), 1132–1139.
- Bridgland, V. M. E., Moeck, E. K., Green, D. M., Swain, T. L., Nayda, D. M., Matson, L. A., ... Takarangi, M. K. T. (2021). Why the COVID-19 pandemic is a traumatic stressor. *PLoS ONE*, 16(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0240146
- Brunoni, A. R., Suen, P. J. C., Bacchi, P. S., Razza, L. B., Klein, I., Dos Santos, L. A., ... Benseñor, I. M. (2021). Prevalence and risk factors of psychiatric symptoms and diagnoses before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: Findings from the ELSA-Brasil COVID-19 mental health cohort. *Psychological Medicine*, 53(2), 1–12.
- Bussone, S., Pesca, C., Tambelli, R., & Carola, V. (2020). Psychological health issues subsequent to SARS-Cov 2 restrictive measures: The role of parental bonding and attachment style. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 1–9.
- Campos, J. A. D. B., Campos, L. A., Bueno, J. L., & Martins, B. G. (2021). Emotions and mood swings of pharmacy students in the context of the coronavirus disease of 2019 pandemic. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching & Learning*, 13(6), 635–642.
- Capuano, R., Altieri, M., Bisecco, A., d'Ambrosio, A., Docimo, R., Buonanno, D., ... Gallo, A. (2021). Psychological consequences of COVID-19 pandemic in Italian MS patients: Signs of resilience? *Journal of Neurology*, 268(3), 743–750.
- Chakraborty, A., & Karmakar, S. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry*, 15(3), 256–259.
- Chandola, T., Kumari, M., Booker, C. L., & Benzeval, M. (2020). The mental health impact of COVID-19 and lockdown-related stressors among adults in the UK. *Psychological Medicine*, *52*(14), 1–10.
- Conti, E., Sgandurra, G., De Nicola, G., Biagioni, T., Boldrini, S., Bonaventura, E., ... Battini, R. (2020). Behavioural and emotional changes during COVID-19 lockdown in an Italian paediatric population with neurologic and psychiatric disorders. *Brain Sciences*, 10(12), 1–15.
- Cooley, S. A., Nelson, B., Doyle, J., Rosenow, A., & Ances, B. M. (2021). Collateral damage: Impact of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in people living with HIV. *Journal of Neurovirology*, 27(1), 168–170.
- Cox, R. C., & Olatunji, B. O. (2021). Linking insomnia and OCD symptoms during the coronavirus pandemic: Examination of prospective associations. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 77, 1–8.
- da Freitas, F. F., de Medeiros, A. C. Q., & de Lopes, F. A. (2021). Effects of social distancing during the COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety and eating behavior – a longitudinal study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1–11.
- Daly, M., & Robinson, E. (2021). Anxiety reported by US adults in 2019 and during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic: Population-based evidence from two nationally representative samples. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 286, 296–300.
- Davide, P., Andrea, P., Martina, O., Andrea, E., Davide, D., & Mario, A. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on patients with OCD: Effects of contamination symptoms and remission state before the quarantine in a preliminary naturalistic study. *Psychiatry Research*, 291, 1–5.
- De France, K., Hancock, G. R., Stack, D. M., Serbin, L. A., & Hollenstein, T. (2021). The mental health implications of COVID-19 for adolescents: Follow-up of a four-wave longitudinal study during the pandemic. *The American Psychologist*, 77(1), 85–99.
- Deng, J., Zhou, F., Hou, W., Silver, Z., Wong, C. Y., Chang, O., ... Huang, E. (2021). The prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms and sleep disturbance in higher education students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research*, 301, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113863

- Ebrahimi, O. V., Hoffart, A., & Johnson, S. U. (2021). Physical distancing and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Factors associated with psychological symptoms and adherence to pandemic mitigation strategies. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 9(3), 489–506.
- Elmer, T., Mepham, K., & Stadtfeld, C. (2020). Students under lockdown: Comparisons of students' social networks and mental health before and during the COVID-19 crisis in Switzerland. *PLoS ONE*, 15(7), 1–22.
- Emery, R. L., Johnson, S. T., Simone, M., Loth, K. A., Berge, J. M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2021). Understanding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on stress, mood, and substance use among young adults in the greater Minneapolis-St. Paul area: Findings from project EAT. Social Science & Medicine, 276(1982), 1–10.
- Ergenekon, A. P., Yilmaz Yegit, C., Cenk, M., Bas Ikizoglu, N., Atag, E., Gokdemir, Y., ... Karadag, B. (2021). Depression and anxiety in mothers of home ventilated children before and during COVID-19 pandemic. *Pediatric Pulmonology*, 56(1), 264–270.
- Evans, S., Alkan, E., Bhangoo, J. K., Tenenbaum, H., & Ng-Knight, T. (2021). Effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on mental health, wellbeing, sleep, and alcohol use in a UK student sample. *Psychiatry Research*, 298, 1–7.
- Faravelli, C., Lo Sauro, C., Lelli, L., Pietrini, F., Lazzeretti, L., Godini, L., ... Ricca, V. (2012). The role of life events and HPA axis in anxiety disorders: A review. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 18(35), 5663–5674. https://doi. org/10.2174/138161212803530907
- Feinberg, M. E., Mogle, J. A., Lee, J.-K., Tornello, S. L., Hostetler, M. L., Cifelli, J. A., ... Hotez, E. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on parent, child, and family functioning. *Family Process*, 61, 362–375.
- Fiorillo, A., & Gorwood, P. (2020). The consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and implications for clinical practice. *European Psychiatry*, 63(1), e32. https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.35
- Flentje, A., Obedin-Maliver, J., Lubensky, M. E., Dastur, Z., Neilands, T., & Lunn, M. R. (2020). Depression and anxiety changes among sexual and gender minority people coinciding with onset of COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 35(9), 2788–2790.
- Fruehwirth, J. C., Biswas, S., & Perreira, K. M. (2021). The Covid-19 pandemic and mental health of first-year college students: Examining the effect of Covid-19 stressors using longitudinal data. *PLoS ONE*, 16(3), e0247999.
- Gagné, M.-H., Piché, G., Clément, M.-È., & Villatte, A. (2021). Families in confinement: A pre-post COVID-19 study. *Couple and Family Psychology: Research and Practice*, 10(4), 260–270.
- Giel, K. E., Schurr, M., Zipfel, S., Junne, F., & Schag, K. (2021). Eating behaviour and symptom trajectories in patients with a history of binge eating disorder during COVID-19 pandemic. *European Eating Disorders Review: The Journal of the Eating Disorders Association*, 29(4), 657–662.
- Gosselin, A., Melchior, M., Carillon, S., Gubert, F., Ridde, V., Kohou, V., ... Desgrées du Loû, A. (2021). Deterioration of mental health and insufficient Covid-19 information among disadvantaged immigrants in the greater Paris area. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 146, 1–5.
- Gruber, J., Prinstein, M. J., Clark, L. A., Rottenberg, J., Abramowitz, J. S., Albano, A. M., ... Weinstock, L. M. (2021). Mental health and clinical psychological science in the time of COVID-19: Challenges, opportunities, and a call to action. *American Psychologist*, 76(3), 409–426. https://doi.org/10. 1037/amp0000707
- Gul, Z. B. (2021). Depression and sexual functions in epilepsy patients: Comparison before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Annals of Medical Psychology, 180, 1–6.
- Guzick, A. G., Candelari, A., Wiese, A. D., Schneider, S. C., Goodman, W. K., & Storch, E. A. (2021). Obsessive-compulsive disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 23(11), 71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-021-01284-2
- Haliwa, I., Wilson, J., Lee, J., & Shook, N. J. (2021). Predictors of change in mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 291, 331–337.
- Hamadani, J. D., Hasan, M. I., Baldi, A. J., Hossain, S. J., Shiraji, S., Bhuiyan, M. S. A., ... Pasricha, S.-R. (2020). Immediate impact of stay-at-home orders to control COVID-19 transmission on socioeconomic conditions, food insecurity, mental health, and intimate partner violence in Bangladeshi women and their families: An interrupted time series. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(11), e1380–e1389.

- Hamm, M. E., Brown, P. J., Karp, J. F., Lenard, E., Cameron, F., Dawdani, A., ... Lenze, E. J. (2020). Experiences of American older adults with pre-existing depression during the beginnings of the COVID-19 pandemic: A multicity, mixed-methods study. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 28(9), 924–932.
- Herrera, M. S., Elgueta, R., Fernández, M. B., Giacoman, C., Leal, D., Marshall, P., ... Bustamante, F. (2021). A longitudinal study monitoring the quality of life in a national cohort of older adults in Chile before and during the COVID-19 outbreak. *BMC Geriatrics*, 21(1), 1–12.
- Hill, M. L., Nichter, B., Na, P. J., Norman, S. B., Morland, L. A., Krystal, J. H., & Pietrzak, R. H. (2021). Mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in U.S. military veterans: A population-based, prospective cohort study. *Psychological Medicine*, 53, 1–12.
- Hyland, P., Shevlin, M., Murphy, J., McBride, O., Fox, R., Bondjers, K., ... Vallières, F. (2021). A longitudinal assessment of depression and anxiety in the Republic of Ireland before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Research*, 300, 1–7.
- Ilgen, O., Kurt, S., Aydin, C., Bilen, E., & Kula, H. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic effect on female sexual function. *Polish Gynecology*, 92, 856–859.
- Jacobs, M., & Burch, A. E. (2021). Anxiety during the pandemic: Racial and ethnic differences in the trajectory of fear. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 292, 58–66.
- Jelinek, L., Göritz, A. S., Miegel, F., Moritz, S., & Kriston, L. (2021). Predictors of trajectories of obsessive-compulsive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic in the general population in Germany. *Translational Psychiatry*, 11(1), 1–11.
- Kendler, K. S., & Gardner, C. O. (2016). Depressive vulnerability, stressful life events and episode onset of major depression: A longitudinal model. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(9), 1865–1874. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291716000349
- Khosravani, V., Aardema, F., Samimi Ardestani, S. M., & Sharifi Bastan, F. (2021). The impact of the coronavirus pandemic on specific symptom dimensions and severity in OCD: A comparison before and during COVID-19 in the context of stress responses. *Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders*, 29, 1–6.
- Kidd, J. D., Jackman, K. B., Barucco, R., Dworkin, J. D., Dolezal, C., Navalta, T. V., ... Bockting, W. O. (2021). Understanding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of transgender and gender nonbinary individuals engaged in a longitudinal cohort study. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 68(4), 592–611.
- King, L. S., Feddoes, D. E., Kirshenbaum, J. S., Humphreys, K. L., & Gotlib, I. H. (2021). Pregnancy during the pandemic: The impact of COVID-19related stress on risk for prenatal depression. *Psychological Medicine*, 53(1), 170–180.
- Knowles, K. A., & Olatunji, B. O. (2021). Anxiety and safety behavior usage during the COVID-19 pandemic: The prospective role of contamination fear. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 77, 1–5.
- Kotov, R., Krueger, R. F., Watson, D., Achenbach, T. M., Althoff, R. R., Bagby, R. M., ... Zimmerman, M. (2017). The hierarchical taxonomy of psychopathology (HiTOP): A dimensional alternative to traditional nosologies. *Journal* of Abnormal Psychology, 126(4), 454–477. https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000258
- Koushik, N. S. (2020). A population mental health perspective on the impact of COVID-19. Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, *Practice, and Policy*, 12(5), 529–530. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000737
- Krendl, A. C., & Perry, B. L. (2021). The impact of sheltering in place during the COVID-19 pandemic on older adults' social and mental well-being. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 76(2), e53–e58.
- Krishnamoorthy, Y., Nagarajan, R., Saya, G. K., & Menon, V. (2020). Prevalence of psychological morbidities among general population, healthcare workers and COVID-19 patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research*, 293, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113382
- Lau, A. L. D., Chi, I., Cummins, R. A., Lee, T. M. C., Chou, K.-L., & Chung, L. W. M. (2008). The SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) pandemic in Hong Kong: Effects on the subjective wellbeing of elderly and younger people. *Aging and Mental Health*, 12(6), 746–760. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 13607860802380607.

- Lau, J. F., Shariff, R., & Meehan, A. (2021). Are biased interpretations of ambiguous social and non-social situations a precursor, consequence or maintenance factor of youth loneliness? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 140, 1–8.
- Lee, C. M., Cadigan, J. M., & Rhew, I. C. (2020). Increases in loneliness among young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic and association with increases in mental health problems. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*, 67(5), 714–717.
- Li, H. Y., Cao, H., Leung, D. Y. P., & Mak, Y. W. (2020). The psychological impacts of a COVID-19 outbreak on college students in China: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(11), 1–11.
- Liu, R. T., & Miller, I. (2014). Life events and suicidal ideation and behavior: A systematic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 34(3), 181–192. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cpr.2014.01.006
- Liu, X., Jin, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Li, Y., & Ma, J. (2021). Effect of coronavirus disease 2019 on the psychology and behavior of patients on methadone maintenance treatment in Wuhan, China: A clinical observational study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12, 1–6.
- Lorentz, M. S., Chagas, L. B., Perez, A. V., da Silva Cassol, P. A., Vettorazzi, J., & Lubianca, J. N. (2021). Correlation between depressive symptoms and sexual dysfunction in postpartum women during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 258*, 162–167.
- Loret de Mola, C., Martins-Silva, T., Carpena, M. X., Del-Ponte, B., Blumenberg, C., Martins, R. C., ... Cesar, J. A. (2021). Maternal mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in the 2019 Rio Grande birth cohort. *Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry*, 43(4), 402–406.
- Lugo-Marín, J., Gisbert-Gustemps, L., Setien-Ramos, I., Español-Martín, G., Ibañez-Jimenez, P., Forner-Puntonet, M., ... Ramos-Quiroga, J. A. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic effects in people with autism spectrum disorder and their caregivers: Evaluation of social distancing and lockdown impact on mental health and general status. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 83, 1–11.
- Luijten, M. A. J., van Muilekom, M. M., Teela, L., Polderman, T. J. C., Terwee, C. B., Zijlmans, J., ... Haverman, L. (2021). The impact of lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic on mental and social health of children and adolescents. *Quality of Life Research*, 30(10), 2795–2804.
- Luo, M., Guo, L., Yu, M., Jiang, W., & Wang, H. (2020). The psychological and mental impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on medical staff and general public – a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research*, 291, 2–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113190
- Magson, N. R., Freeman, J. Y. A., Rapee, R. M., Richardson, C. E., Oar, E. L., & Fardouly, J. (2021). Risk and protective factors for prospective changes in adolescent mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 50(1), 44–57.
- Mancini, A. D. (2020). Heterogeneous mental health consequences of COVID-19: Costs and benefits. Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, 12(S1), S15–S16. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000894
- McArthur, C., Saari, M., Heckman, G. A., Wellens, N., Weir, J., Hebert, P., ... Hirdes, J. P. (2021). Evaluating the effect of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on long-term care residents' mental health: A data-driven approach in New Brunswick. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 22(1), 187–192.
- McLaughlin, K. (2020). Early life stress and psychopathology. In K. L. Harkness & E. P. Hayden (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of stress and mental health* (pp. 44–74; By K. McLaughlin). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190681777.013.3
- Minhas, M., Belisario, K., González-Roz, A., Halladay, J., Murphy, J. G., & MacKillop, J. (2021). COVID-19 impacts on drinking and mental health in emerging adults: Longitudinal changes and moderation by economic disruption and sex. Alcoholism: *Clinical and Experimental Research*, 45, 1448–1457.
- Mink van der Molen, D. R., Bargon, C. A., Batenburg, M. C. T., Gal, R., Young-Afat, D. A., van Stam, L. E., ... Verkooijen, H. M. (2021). (Ex-)breast cancer patients with (pre-existing) symptoms of anxiety and/or depression experience higher barriers to contact health care providers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 186(2), 577–583.

- Mishra, R., Park, C., York, M. K., Kunik, M. E., Wung, S.-F., Naik, A. D., & Najafi, B. (2021). Decrease in mobility during the COVID-19 pandemic and its association with increase in depression among older adults: A longitudinal remote mobility monitoring using a wearable sensor. *Sensors*, 21(9), 1–12.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 151(4), 221–296.
- Necho, M., Tsehay, M., Birkie, M., Biset, G., & Tadesse, E. (2021). Prevalence of anxiety, depression, and psychological distress among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 67(7), 892–906. https://doi.org/10.1177/00207640211003121
- Nogueira, J., Gerardo, B., Silva, A. R., Pinto, P., Barbosa, R., Soares, S., ... Freitas, S. (2021). Effects of restraining measures due to COVID-19: Preand post-lockdown cognitive status and mental health. *Current Psychology*, 41(10), 1–10.
- Novotný, J. S., Gonzalez-Rivas, J. P., Kunzová, Š, Skladaná, M., Pospíšilová, A., Polcrová, A., ... Stokin, G. B. (2020). Risk factors underlying COVID-19 lockdown-induced mental distress. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 1–11.
- OECD. (2021). Caregiving in crisis: Gender inequality in paid and unpaid work during COVID-19 [OECD Policy Responses to Coronavirus (COVID-19)], 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1787/3555d164-en
- Öhman, A. (2008). Fear and anxiety: Overlaps and dissociations. In M. Lewis, J. M. Haviland-Jones & L. F. Barrett (Eds.), *Handbook of emotions* (pp. 709–728). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Öhman, A., & Mineka, S. (2001). Fears, phobias, and preparedness: Toward an evolved module of fear and fear learning. *Psychological Review*, 108(3), 483–522. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.108.3.483
- Pan, K.-Y., Kok, A. A. L., Eikelenboom, M., Horsfall, M., Jörg, F., Luteijn, R. A., ... Penninx, B. W. J. H. (2021). The mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on people with and without depressive, anxiety, or obsessivecompulsive disorders: A longitudinal study of three Dutch case-control cohorts. *The Lancet. Psychiatry*, 8(2), 121–129.
- Perzow, S. E. D., Hennessey, E.-M. P., Hoffman, M. C., Grote, N. K., Davis, E. P., & Hankin, B. L. (2021). Mental health of pregnant and postpartum women in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Affective Disorders Reports*, 4, 1–7.
- Peters, A., Rospleszcz, S., Greiser, K. H., Dallavalle, M., & Berger, K. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on self-reported health. *Deutsches Arzteblatt International*, 117(50), 861–867.
- Pfefferbaum, B., & North, C. S. (2020). Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. New England Journal of Medicine, 383(6), 510–512. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMp2008017
- Ramiz, L., Contrand, B., Rojas Castro, M. Y., Dupuy, M., Lu, L., Sztal-Kutas, C., & Lagarde, E. (2021). A longitudinal study of mental health before and during COVID-19 lockdown in the French population. *Globalization and Health*, 17(1), 1–16.
- Rivera, J., Castrejón, I., Vallejo-Slocker, L., Offenbächer, M., Molina-Collada, J., Trives, L., ... Vallejo, M. A. (2021). Clinical impact of confinement due to the COVID-19 pandemic on patients with fibromyalgia: A cohort study. *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology*, 39(3), 78–81.
- Robinson, E., Sutin, A. R., Daly, M., & Jones, A. (2022). A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies comparing mental health before versus during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 296, 567–576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.09.098
- Rogers, A. A., Ha, T., & Ockey, S. (2021). Adolescents' perceived socioemotional impact of COVID-19 and implications for mental health: Results from a U.S.-based mixed-methods study. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 68(1), 43–52.
- Rutherford, B. R., Choi, C. J., Chrisanthopolous, M., Salzman, C., Zhu, C., Montes-Garcia, C., ... Roose, S. P. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic as a traumatic stressor: Mental health responses of older adults with chronic PTSD. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 29(2), 105–114.
- Sacre, J. W., Holmes-Truscott, E., Salim, A., Anstey, K. J., Drummond, G. R., Huxley, R. R., ... Shaw, J. E. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown restrictions on psychosocial and behavioural outcomes among

- Salari, N., Hosseinian-Far, A., Jalali, R., Vaisi-Raygani, A., Rasoulpoor, S., Mohammadi, M., ... Khaledi-Paveh, B. (2020). Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Globalization and Health*, 16(1), 1095–1096. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00589-w
- Saraswathi, I., Saikarthik, J., Senthil Kumar, K., Madhan Srinivasan, K., Ardhanaari, M., & Gunapriya, R. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on the mental health status of undergraduate medical students in a COVID-19 treating medical college: A prospective longitudinal study. *PeerJ*, 8, 1–25.
- Seitz, K. I., Bertsch, K., & Herpertz, S. C. (2021). A prospective study of mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic in childhood trauma-exposed individuals: Social support matters. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 34(3), 477–486.
- Siew, S. K. H., Mahendran, R., & Yu, J. (2021). Directional effects of social isolation and quality of life on anxiety levels among community-dwelling older adults during a COVID-19 lockdown. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 12, 1274–1279.
- Soriano-Ferrer, M., Morte-Soriano, M. R., Begeny, J., & Piedra-Martínez, E. (2021). Psychoeducational challenges in Spanish children with dyslexia and their parents' stress during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1–15.
- Stojanov, A., Stojanov, J., Milosevic, V., Malobabic, M., Stanojevic, G., Stevic, M., & Djordjevic, G. (2020). The impact of the coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic on the psychological status and quality of life of myasthenia gravis patients. *Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology*, 23(4), 510–514.
- Strizović, S., Vojvodić, N., Kovačević, M., Pejović, A., Bukumirić, Z., Sokić, D., & Ristić, A. J. (2021). Influence of COVID-19 pandemic on quality of life in patients with epilepsy – follow-up study. *Epilepsy & Behavior*, 121, 1–5.
- Tanir, Y., Karayagmurlu, A., Kaya, İ, Kaynar, T. B., Türkmen, G., Dambasan, B. N., ... Coşkun, M. (2020). Exacerbation of obsessive compulsive disorder symptoms in children and adolescents during COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Research*, 293, 2–5.
- Teng, Z., Pontes, H. M., Nie, Q., Griffiths, M. D., & Guo, C. (2021). Depression and anxiety symptoms associated with internet gaming disorder before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 10, 169–180.
- Thombs, B. D., Kwakkenbos, L., Henry, R. S., Carrier, M.-E., Patten, S., Harb, S., ... Benedetti, A. (2020). Changes in mental health symptoms from pre-COVID-19 to COVID-19 among participants with systemic sclerosis from four countries: A scleroderma patient-centered intervention network (SPIN) cohort study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 139, 1–10.
- Thorisdottir, I. E., Asgeirsdottir, B. B., Kristjansson, A. L., Valdimarsdottir, H.
 B., Jonsdottir Tolgyes, E. M., Sigfusson, J., ... Halldorsdottir, T. (2021).
 Depressive symptoms, mental wellbeing, and substance use among adolescents before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in Iceland: A longitudinal, population-based study. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 8, 663–672.
- Twenge, J. M., & Joiner, T. E. (2020). Mental distress among U.S. adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 76(12), 2170–2182.
- Ugurlucan, F. G., Yasa, C., Ates Tikiz, M., Evruke, I., Isik, C., Dural, O., ... Akhan, S. E. (2021). Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic and social distancing measures on the sexual functions of women treated for vaginismus (genitopelvic pain/penetration disorder). *International Urogynecology Journal*, 32(5), 1265–1271.
- van Zyl, L. E., Rothmann, S., & Zondervan-Zwijnenburg, M. A. J. (2021). Longitudinal trajectories of study characteristics and mental health before and during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1–13.
- Villani, E. R., Vetrano, D. L., Damiano, C., Paola, A. D., Ulgiati, A. M., Martin, L., ... Carfi, A. (2020). Impact of COVID-19-related lockdown on psychosocial, cognitive, and functional well-being in adults with down syndrome. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 1–7.
- Waugh, C. E., Leslie-Miller, C. J., & Cole, V. T. (2022). Coping with COVID-19: The efficacy of disengagement for coping with the chronic stress of a pandemic. *Anxiety, Stress. & Coping*, 36(1), 52–66. https://doi. org/10.1080/10615806.2022.2081841

- Winkler, P., Mohrova, Z., Mlada, K., Kuklova, M., Kagstrom, A., Mohr, P., & Formanek, T. (2021). Prevalence of current mental disorders before and during the second wave of COVID-19 pandemic: An analysis of repeated nationwide cross-sectional surveys. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 139, 167–171.
- Wong, S. Y. S., Zhang, D., Sit, R. W. S., Yip, B. H. K., Chung, R. Y.-N., Wong, C. K. M., ... Mercer, S. W. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on loneliness, mental health, and health service utilisation: A prospective cohort study of older adults with multimorbidity in primary care. *The British Journal of General Practice*, 70(700), e817–e824.
- Yang, X., Hu, H., Zhao, C., Xu, H., Tu, X., & Zhang, G. (2021). A longitudinal study of changes in smart phone addiction and depressive symptoms and potential risk factors among Chinese college students. *BMC Psychiatry*, 21, 1–9.
- Yang, Z., Ji, L.-J., Yang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhu, L., & Cai, H. (2021). Meaning making helps cope with COVID-19: A longitudinal study. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 174, 1–6.

- Yildirim, O. A., Poyraz, K., & Erdur, E. (2021). Depression and anxiety in cancer patients before and during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: Association with treatment delays. *Quality of Life Research*, 30(7), 1–10.
- Yocum, A. K., Zhai, Y., McInnis, M. G., & Han, P. (2021). Covid-19 pandemic and lockdown impacts: A description in a longitudinal study of bipolar disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 282, 1226–1233.
- Zambelli, Z., Fidalgo, A. R., Halstead, E. J., & Dimitriou, D. (2021). Acute impact of a national lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic on wellbeing outcomes among individuals with chronic pain. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 27(5), 1–12.
- Zhang, B., Zaman, A., Silenzio, V., Kautz, H., & Hoque, E. (2020). The relationships of deteriorating depression and anxiety with longitudinal behavioral changes in google and YouTube use during COVID-19: Observational study. *JMIR Mental Health*, 7(11), e24012.
- Zhao, S. Z., Wong, J. Y. H., Luk, T. T., Wai, A. K. C., Lam, T. H., & Wang, M. P. (2020). Mental health crisis under COVID-19 pandemic in Hong Kong, China. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 100, 431–433.